UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
UGOCHUKWO GOODLUCK NWAUZOR, et al.,)))
Plaintiffs, v. THE GEO GROUP, INC.,) 3:17-cv-05769-RJB) 3:17-cv-05806-RJB)) Tacoma, Washington)) June 1, 2021
Defendant.) Jury Trial)
STATE OF WASHINGTON,)) 9:00 a.m.
Plaintiff, v.)) Jury Voir Dire)
THE GEO GROUP, INC., Defendant.))))
BEFORE THE HONORAB	T OF PROCEEDINGS BLE ROBERT J. BRYAN DISTRICT JUDGE
Proceedings stenographicall With computer-	y reported and transcribed aided technology
Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pa	Pacific Ava Tacoma WA - 253.882.3832

1	1 APPEARANCES		
2			
3	For the Plaintiff		
4	Nwauzor, et al.:	Schroeter Goldmark & Bender	
5		810 Third Avenue Suite 500	
6		Seattle, Washington	
7		ANDREA DRENNEVE	
8	For the Plaintiff State of Washington:	LANE POLOZOLA	
9		MARSHA J. CHIEN 800 Fifth Avenue	
10		Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington	
11			
12	For the Defendant The GEO Group:	LAWRENCE D. SILVERMAN ADRIENNE SCHEFFEY	
13	The GLO Group.	Akerman LLP 1900 Sixteenth Street	
14		Suite 1700 Denver, Colorado	
15		JOAN K. MELL	
16		III Branches Law PLLC 1019 Regents Boulevard	
17		Suite 204 Fircrest, Washington	
18		Trorose, washington	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pacific Ave, Tacoma, WA - 253-882-3832		

```
MORNING SESSION
 1
 2
                                              JUNE 1, 2021
                         Okay. Do we have all the lawyers now?
 3
             THE COURT:
                         I assigned everyone. They might be
             THE CLERK:
 4
    making the transition from the main session to this one.
 5
             THE COURT: Can you all hear me now?
 6
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: I want to make a record as to where we
 8
          This is in combined Cause Nos. 17-5806 and 17-5761.
             THE CLERK: Your Honor, can I double check that
10
    Angela Nicolavo has been able to join this room and she's
11
    prepared to report?
12
             COURT REPORTER: Good morning. Yes, I am online and
13
14
    ready to go.
15
             THE COURT: For the record, this is combined Cause
    Nos. 17-5806 and 17-5769, State versus GEO and Nwauzor versus
16
17
    GEO.
        Are the parties ready to proceed for the State?
18
             MS. CHIEN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Marsha Chien
19
    for the State.
20
             THE COURT: And for Nwauzor.
21
22
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes, Your Honor, Jamal Whitehead, and
23
    we are ready to proceed.
             THE COURT: And for GEO?
24
             MS. MELL: Yes, Your Honor, Joan Mell,
25
```

-Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pacific Ave, Tacoma, WA - 253-882-3832-

Adrienne Scheffey and Larry Silverman.

THE COURT: Okay. After our first little glitch here this morning, I guess we are ready to introduce the case to the jury. You can bring the jury in.

THE CLERK: The juror questionnaires were emailed to counsel a few minutes ago. I am getting bounce backs from the State of Washington email addresses because it says the file is too large.

MS. SCHEFFEY: Could you upload it to Box?

THE CLERK: Yes. This will take a minute to get the copy printed for you. I think we should be ready to go in about five minutes. It will take a minute to get it uploaded to Box as well.

The jury questionnaires are printed out. I will talk to Julie about getting them to you. The items have been uploaded to the defendants and now the plaintiffs. I just put them in the exhibit folders on Box. I will take care of them later and remove them. If you want them, download them from there. We are ready in that respect.

Judge Bryan, do you want me to take a minute and make sure yours are printed out? The juror questionnaires?

THE COURT: I guess we need to know.

THE CLERK: Okay. Just a moment.

THE COURT: I have, on my screen, about 25 jurors. Where are the rest of them?

THE CLERK: They'll be on separate screens, if you move your mouse over the screen, there should be a little blue arrow on the right side or left side so you can flip between the three screens of participants. When we break into smaller groups, everyone will be able to fit on the screen but not at this moment.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we will start here this morning after a little delay. We are conducting civil trials remotely using this Zoom platform because of the pandemic and the problems that have arisen on account of the pandemic.

There is a good thing about doing it this way and that is that you won't be required to come into the courthouse every day, but the jurors that end up sitting on this case will participate by computer from your home and your private space or office.

The obligations of jurors will be the same as if you were in the courtroom. You must be able to give these cases your full attention from 9:00 to 4:00 throughout the trial and you must follow all of the orders of the Court and instructions from the Court and court staff.

The first order of business today is for me to tell you a little bit about the cases we are going to try and to introduce the participants in the trial.

We have joined two cases together for the first phase of

this proceeding because the two cases share common issues.

After those issues are resolved, the same jury may be called upon to hear a second phase of the case. The plaintiff in the first case is the State of Washington.

I don't know if you can see the lawyers -- Tyler? Tyler is my courtroom deputy clerk who you may have met before.

THE CLERK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The lawyers for the State of Washington are Andrea Brenneke, Marsha Chien and Lane Polozola. If you don't see them now, you will shortly.

The plaintiffs in the second case are Goodluck Nwauzor and Fernando Aguire-Urbina. Their lawyers are Jamal Whitehead and Adam Berger. The plaintiffs are here on their own behalf, and Mr. Nwauzor as the representative of a class of other people.

Their claims are described as a class action. A class action is a lawsuit that has been brought by one or more plaintiffs on behalf of a larger group of people who have similar legal claims. All of these people together are called a class.

In a class action, the claims of many individuals can be resolved at the same time instead of requiring each member of the class to sue separately over the same issue. Because of the large number of possible claims that are in this case, not everyone in the class will testify. The evidence in the

trial applies to all class members. All members of the class will be bound by the results of this trial.

In the second case, in the class claim, the class consists of the following: all civil immigration detainees who participated in the voluntary work program at the Northwest Detention Center at any time between September 26th, 2014, and the date of final judgment in this matter.

You will hear more about the voluntary work program and the Northwest Detention Center in a few minutes.

The defendant in both cases is The GEO Group,

Incorporated, a corporation that is often just referred to as
GEO.

GEO's lawyers here are Joan Mell, Adrienne Scheffey and Lawrence Silverman.

The parties have agreed on certain facts. These facts will give you a background of the issues in the case.

The following facts are admitted by the parties: GEO owns and operates the Northwest Immigration and Customs

Enforcement Processing Center, which was known from 2005 to 2019 as the Northwest Detention Center, or known as "The Center." It is located at 1623 East J Street in Tacoma, Washington.

Since October of 2005, GEO has contracted with U.S.

Customs and Immigration Enforcement, also often referred to simply as ICE, I-C-E, that's part of the Department of

Homeland Security, and the contract was to provide civil immigration detention management services at The Center for adults held in administrative custody as they await immigration status review by ICE and the federal judiciary.

GEO has expanded the capacity of The Center. The Center initially had the capacity to house between 500 to 800 individuals. In July of 2006, GEO expanded The Center to house up to 1,000 individuals. In October 2009, GEO expanded The Center a second time so that it now has the capacity to house up to 1,575 individuals.

Pursuant to The Center contract between GEO and ICE, GEO provides detention services to ICE, including, but not limited to the building management and administration security, clean and vermin-free facilities, food service with three nutritious meals per day, clean uniforms and bedding, and barbershop and grooming services.

Pursuant to The Center contract between GEO and ICE, GEO is required to perform in accordance with specific statutory, regulatory, policy and operational constraints, including the ICE Department of Homeland Security Performance-Based National Detention Standards, as well as all applicable federal, state and local laws.

The Performance-Based National Detention Standards, and its predecessor the National Detention Standards, is a set of standards developed by ICE to ensure that all entities it

contracts with provide safe and secure facilities.

Performance-Based National Detention Standard 5.8 requires that GEO offer detained persons an opportunity to work in a voluntary work program or VWP.

Since October of 2005, GEO has offered detainees positions in its voluntary work program. On a given day, there could be as many as 470 positions for detainees in the voluntary work program at The Center.

While detained, detainees do not have the opportunity to leave The Center or work outside of The Center unless explicitly authorized by ICE.

GEO does not review whether detainee workers have work authorization when reviewing their requests or applications for positions in the voluntary work program.

GEO maintains job descriptions for voluntary work program positions. Positions that are available to detainees in the voluntary work program are varied, including in the kitchen, in the laundry room, cleaning of common areas and cutting hair in the barbershop.

GEO provides detainees in voluntary work program positions with all equipment, materials, supplies, uniforms and personal protective equipment necessary to their voluntary work program position.

GEO has never paid detainees in the voluntary work program positions the state minimum wage. GEO has paid and continues

to pay detainees in voluntary work program positions one dollar per day.

Performance-Based National Detention Standard 5.8 states,
"Detainees shall receive monetary compensation for work
completed in accord with the facility standard policy. The
compensation is at least one dollar per day."

GEO employs non-detainee employees including two or three janitors at The Center. Washington's hourly minimum wage from 2005 to the present year has gone from \$7.35 on January 1, 2005, to \$13.50 on January 1, 2020.

Mr. Nwauzor, the plaintiff, is a citizen of Nigeria, and was granted asylum in the United States in January of 2017.

Mr. Nwauzor was held at The Center as a civil immigration detainee from approximately June of 2016 until January of 2017. Mr. Nwauzor held a voluntary work program position during his detention at The Center. Mr. Nwauzor obtained lawful permanent residence status, commonly known as a green card, in July of 2018.

Mr. Aguire-Urbina was born in Mexico. He was held at The Center as a similar immigration detainee beginning in September of 2012. Mr. Aguire-Urbina held a voluntary work program position during his detention at The Center.

That concludes the recitation of the agreed facts that the parties have presented to the Court.

All parties agree that the first issues to be tried are

whether GEO is required to pay the hourly minimum wage to detainees in the voluntary work program at The Center under the State of Washington's Minimum Wage Act, and does government immunity render GEO immune from liability under the Minimum Wage Act.

The plaintiff, State of Washington, brings the first case as an enforcement action to require GEO to adhere to Washington State's Minimum Wage Act.

In the second case, the class plaintiffs' claim is to recover money they claim is due under the Minimum Wage Act for work performed as part of the voluntary work program.

Defendant denies the plaintiffs' claims and affirmatively claims that GEO is immune from plaintiffs' claims under the law. Plaintiffs deny the defendant's claims.

The parties have the burden of proving any claim or affirmative defense by a preponderance of evidence, which means that you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim or affirmative defense is more probably true than not true.

GEO has been the subject of news reports on other subjects lately that are not directly relevant to these cases. These cases, today's cases, are not about whether the government's contracting with private entities to operate detention facilities is a good or bad policy. Nor is it about specific events at The Center unrelated to the issue of minimum wage

and the voluntary work program. Also, these cases are not about the United States immigration policies or border issues.

An important part of these cases is jury selection. In order that the cases be tried before an impartial jury, the lawyers and I will ask you questions, not to embarrass you or pry into your private affairs, but to determine if you are unbiased and without preconceived ideas that might affect the cases. You should not withhold information in order to be seated on this particular jury. You should be straightforward in your answers, rather than answering in a way you feel the lawyers or I expect you to answer. Your answers will be under oath.

It is presumed that when a jury has been selected and accepted by both sides, each of you will keep an open mind until the cases are finally submitted, that you will accept the instructions of the Court, and will base any decision on the law and the facts, uninfluenced by any other considerations. The purpose of the questions to you is to determine if you have that state of mind.

The lawyers have the right and duty to challenge any jurors for cause. They may also challenge a certain number of jurors without giving any reason as a guarantee to both parties that they may remove some jurors if they wish. You should not take offense if you are challenged. The

challenges are not exercised as any personal reflection on you.

We have about 50 jurors this morning, and we are going to chose a jury of nine so most of you will not serve in any event. There are a number of witnesses who may testify in these cases. I have asked counsel to list the witnesses that are local, that is to Western Washington, and people that it is possible you might know. I would ask as I read this list of potential witnesses that you make a mental note of anyone you know or may know of that is on the list. I will ask about this when we question the potential jurors.

This is a long list of witnesses. I should add that I am not sure all these people will testify. There may be other witnesses, but these are people that you may know or know of because they are from the western part of Washington. The list is now displayed on the screen for you. I will read the witnesses. Lynne Buchanan, Edwin or Erwin De La Cruz, Byron Eagle, Debra Eisen, Tammy Fellin, Robbin Gard, Joshua Grice, John Patrick Griffin, Bertha Henderson, Michael Heye, H-E-Y-E, David Holt, Lee or Leroy Jaramillo, David Johnson, Marc Johnson, Ryan Kimble, Jose Medina-Lara, Orlando Marquez, Sean McCreery, William, Bill, McHatton, Colleen Melody, Iolani Menza, Jeffrey Munson, Sean Murphy, Maria Nara also known as Karla Gomez Soto, Goodluck Nwauzor, the plaintiff, Peter Nickerson, Leslie Perrin, Alma Poletti, Dan Ragsdale,

Bruce Scott, Daniel Sheehan, Alisha Singleton, Chris Strawn, Brian Strong, Sarah Sytsma, S-Y-T-S-M-A, David Tracy, David Ventruella, Christina Wells and Taylor Wonhoff.

Again, we'll be asking you if you know or know of any of those witnesses in a few minutes.

We are going to divide you into group or flights of 16 to determine whether each of you can serve as fair and impartial jurors in the case. This is going to take some time.

Unfortunately, a large part of jury service is waiting. It will take us some time to inquire of each flight. We will work as fast as we can. I hope you will be patient with us because it will take some time.

Now, from this point on, you are potential jurors in the case that I have described to you. That means that from this point on, you should not discuss this case among yourselves or with anyone else. Don't let anyone discuss it with you or in your presence. You should understand that it is difficult to keep an open mind during the progress of the case if you discuss the case and express opinions about it before it is time to deliberate together on a verdict.

You should not read, view or listen to any report in the newspapers or radio or television or on the computer regarding the subject of this trial. Don't let anyone permit -- don't permit anyone to read or comment on it to you or in your presence. It is important that you each keep your

mind free of extraneous influences so that you may decide the case on the evidence and under the Court's instructions on the law. If your family or friends ask you about the case you are here today about, you should tell them you are under the Court's instructions not to discuss it. When the trial is over, you'll be released from this instruction, and you will be able to discuss your experiences as a juror.

The lawyers and parties and witnesses are not permitted to talk to you during the trial. Even a discussion that has no relation to the case may appear to a third party to be an inappropriate communication. Bear in mind that the lawyers and participants in the trial will not be friendly to you, particularly outside of the court setting.

Also, you should not seek out evidence on your own. Don't go to the places described in the case and in the evidence.

Understand that the case has to be decided only on the evidence admitted in the courtroom, or in this virtual courtroom.

Also, you should not seek out any information on your computers or on the internet. Get all of your information about the case here in this virtual courtroom from the witnesses and the instructions on the law from the Court, and you will hear the arguments of counsel. I will repeat these instructions from time to time throughout the trial. I wanted you to know at this point that as potential jurors,

you are under the instructions of the Court not to discuss the case or seek out evidence on your own.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, as I indicated, we are going to go to groups of 16 for the questioning portion of jury selection. Tyler, my courtroom deputy, will provide for 16 of you to appear on the screen and we will question them, and after that, we will take another flight of 16 and so forth.

Tyler, I think we can move to the next phase here if you want to give me 16 jurors.

THE CLERK: The first 16 jurors will stay in here, the other potential jurors will go into a breakout room right now. I am going to number them in number order so it is easier to follow along. I will let you know when we are ready to proceed.

Juror 15, are you able to turn your camera on? We skipped 15 for the moment. I am trying to get Juror 15 to turn on their camera. There we go.

Okay. Your Honor, the first 16 jurors are here in a row.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the first thing is you should be sworn to tell the truth regarding these questions regarding jury selection. If you will raise your right hands. Tyler will administer the oath.

THE CLERK: You and each of you do solemnly swear or affirm that you will well and truly answer such questions as may be asked of you touching upon your qualifications to

```
serve as jurors in the trial now before the Court? Please
 1
 2
    say "I do."
        (Jurors responded affirmatively.)
 3
             THE COURT: Have you all answered "I do"?
 4
        All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I am Judge Bryan. I
 5
    don't know if you saw the lawyers when I read their names
 6
 7
    earlier. Let me go through their names again. I think you
    can see them on the screen now.
        Representing the State of Washington is Andrea Brenneke,
    Marsha Chien and Lane Polozola.
10
        The plaintiffs in the second case, the class action, are
11
    Jamal Whitehead and Adam Berger.
12
        The lawyers for GEO, the defendant, are Joan Mell,
13
    Adrienne Scheffey and Lawrence Silverman.
14
15
               Now, ladies and gentlemen, I have a number of
    questions I am going to ask you, and I would ask you to
16
17
    simply raise your hand if you have a response.
        First, did you all hear my introduction to the case?
18
    Anyone that did not? Is all the information that you
19
    provided the Court in writing regarding jury service true and
20
    correct to the best of your ability to make it? Is there
21
22
    anyone that feels you should make some change to the written
23
    material you provided? All right.
        Now, using the Zoom platform for trials is a fairly new
24
```

thing brought on by the pandemic. We have had to find ways

25

```
to keep the courts moving, consistent with safety and health requirements. This Zoom environment requires that all concerned, including jurors, cooperate and promptly report any issues and use their best efforts to provide a fair trial to all concerned. Are you all willing to participate with those things in mind? Anyone that is not willing to participate on this platform?
```

The good thing about it is that you don't have to come into court everyday and you get to stay home and also participate as a juror.

Now, the lawyers have estimated three weeks trial for the first phase of the case with another week for the second phase, if necessary. Lawyers and judges only can estimate time. We are notoriously bad at estimating time, but that's our best estimate at this point. Once we start, it will take as long as it takes.

Trial will typically be from nine to noon and one to four daily with morning and afternoon breaks. I want to know if there is anything in your life that would make it truly difficult or truly unfair for you to be a juror on this case? Yes. Is your name Ice?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 15: No.

THE COURT: Juror No. 15.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 15: Yes, hello, Your Honor. Hi.

I have at home with me right now a five-year-old special

needs child. He is mostly okay on his own, but just when we moved into this group, I did have to tend to him, and he has to get on and off the school bus during those hours. He gets his therapy through school, so it is not really something that I am just able to opt out of for us for a couple of weeks. That is my concern.

THE COURT: Okay. I think Ms. Ice should be excused.

Any objection from counsel?

Okay, Ms. Ice, thank you. You may be excused.

Any of the rest of you have any issues that you want to raise about general matters of jury service?

Ms. Christianson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 10: I have a husband that is currently in need of a knee replacement and currently it fills with blood and he is unable to move or get to the hospital, so I oftentimes have to leave work to get him to the emergency room to have that drained. We can't have surgery until September.

THE COURT: Let me make a note here as we go.

Mr. Krytenberg, is that right, did you have your hand up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 1: I did, yes.

THE COURT: What is your issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 1: I lead a team of people at work, and we are in the most pivotal stage of our project development. If I am gone for a month or more, it will set

```
Case 3:17-cv-05806-RJB Document 514 Filed 08/12/21 Page 20 of 164
    the project back that much further, costing the company
 1
 2
    hundreds of thousands of dollars, which is, frankly,
    unacceptable. I can't be gone for four, five, six weeks at
 3
    this point.
 4
             THE COURT: Who else had their hand up? Mr. Crosley?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 13: I have a similar concern.
 6
    am director of a large IT department. I really can't be away
 7
    from work for four weeks plus. I will be -- if required to
    serve, I'll be doing this from the hours of nine to four but
    still have to do my job non-business hours and the evening
10
    and into the night. I don't know that I can sustain that for
11
    the full duration that is possible here.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
13
    Ms. Christianson, you are caring for your husband; is that
14
15
    right?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 10: That's true, yes.
16
17
             THE COURT: And generally, what is his situation?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 10: He is unable to oftentimes use the restroom. He has really bad osteoarthritis. When his knee pulls up, he is unable to move. He cannot drive. He cannot get his own food because he can't get out of bed. I am oftentimes there having to help with those needs.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 10: We have no family in this I have a ten year old and I have a seven year old. state.

THE COURT: You are his sole caregiver?

```
THE COURT: Okay. You may be excused,
 1
 2
    Ms. Christianson.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 10: Thank you.
 3
             THE COURT: I will ask Juror No. 1 and No. 13 to sit
 4
            We will see how flexible we can be here.
 5
    tight.
             THE CLERK: Your Honor, Juror No. 2 raised their hand
 6
    at the end there. I believe he might have something to add.
 7
             THE COURT: Mr. Troemel, Juror No. 2, did you have a
    problem with jury service?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: Unfortunately, I am the only
10
    one working right now. My wife is unemployed due to COVID.
11
    I'll be losing a bunch of money not working during this
12
    trial.
13
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. I will consider those
14
    issues and rule on them later.
15
        Did someone else have something?
16
17
        I trust that all of you have a computer or iPad or
    something with a camera you can use for the entire trial.
18
    wouldn't want you to try to do this on a cell phone. Are all
19
    of you comfortable with your equipment that you have
20
    available? Seems to be going all right once we got started
21
    this morning.
22
        Ms. Tooley.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: I am borrowing this one right
24
    at the moment. My laptop did not work. I couldn't get a
25
```

```
picture on it. I used my mother's. I don't know for four
 1
 2
    weeks if she would allow me to use this. I may have to -- if
    you could supply one.
 3
             THE COURT: Are you comfortable using court
 4
    equipment, if necessary, that we will supply?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will ask her. It might be
 6
 7
    fine. I have not had an opportunity to ask her permission
    yet.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. All of you have reliable internet
    connectivity? Can all of you use this Zoom platform
10
    throughout the trial as we go along?
11
        Do all of have you a quiet place where you can participate
12
    online for several hours every day without interruption?
13
    Anyone that does not?
14
15
        All right. Do any of you know or know of the lawyers that
    I introduced?
16
17
        Have any of you heard of this case before? From what you
    have heard about it so far, does it remind you of anything
18
    that is happening or has happened in your own life?
19
        Do any of you know or know of any of the witnesses whose
20
    names I read?
21
22
        Are any of you or anyone close to you involved with the
23
    courts or the administration of justice?
             MR. WHITEHEAD: I believe Juror No. 9 had her hand
24
25
    up.
```

```
THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Duprey.
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9: Yes, I am familiar with someone
    that is named as one of the witnesses. I know someone with
 3
    that name. I also am familiar with people in detention being
 4
    paid a dollar a day as my daughter was in detention and had a
 5
    job that paid her a dollar a day. She's no longer in
 6
    detention, but I am aware of that firsthand.
 7
             THE COURT: Was she in detention at the GEO facility?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9: She was in a correction
 9
10
    facility in Oregon.
             THE COURT: Is there anything about what you have
11
    heard about this issue that would cause you to feel one way
12
    or another going into the trial?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9: I think it would. I am
14
15
    familiar with the need to -- you know, I am familiar with the
    limited resources that gives someone in detention to, you
16
17
    know, make a phone call or purchase necessary personal
    products, things like that. I have a little insight into it.
18
             THE COURT: Yes. You mention you knew one of the
19
    witnesses?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9: I do know someone named
21
    David Johnson.
22
             THE COURT: Common name. Where does that person that
23
    you know live?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He lives in Seattle.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. I don't know. I have to ask
 1
 2
    counsel if that is the same person on your witness list.
    Common name.
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9: It is.
 4
             MS. CHIEN: It might be helpful if she knew what job
 5
    or occupation, if she's aware of where Mr. Johnson worked.
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9:
                                   No.
             THE COURT: Is this someone you know well or know of?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9: I am friends with his father.
 9
    I have known him since he was a young -- I have known
10
    David Johnson since he was a little boy.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. I think I should excuse
12
13
    Ms. Duprey. Thank you, ma'am.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9: Thank you very much.
14
             THE COURT: You may be excused.
15
        Ms. Deruyter, No. 8.
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Did you ask about law
    enforcement?
18
             THE COURT: Yes.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: My husband is retired 33 years
20
    from LA County Sheriff's Department.
21
22
             THE CLERK: I thought Juror 12 had stepped away.
23
    He's there. We are good.
             THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Monta.
24
             THE CLERK: He stepped away. I was concerned he had
25
```

-Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pacific Ave, Tacoma, WA - 253-882-3832-

```
left. That's all. He has returned.
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: I am here.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Monta, Juror No. 12, did you
    have an answer?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, he just asked if I had
 5
    stepped away. I had used the restroom.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Ms. Tooley.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: Family members retired.
 8
                                                             Mγ
    father retired. He was a corrections officer. My
 9
    father-in-law is a retired sheriff or police officer of some
10
    sort.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do any of you have a
12
    relationship with or particular knowledge about Immigrations
13
    and Customs Enforcement, that is ICE, or a particular
14
15
    knowledge about GEO or with GEO? Do any of you have any
    particular relationship with or knowledge about the State
16
17
    Department of Labor & Industries or the Attorney General's
    Office for the State? Okay. Do any of you have strong
18
    feelings about immigration, immigrants or immigration
19
    policies that might cause you to start into the case favoring
20
    or disfavoring one side or the other?
21
        As I indicated, the case is not about our government's
22
23
    immigration policy.
        Do any of you have strong feelings about immigration or
24
25
    immigrants or policy that might cause you to start into the
```

case favoring one side or the other?

MR. WHITEHEAD: I believe Juror No. 8 has her hand raised.

THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Deruyter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: My husband worked for 20 years with, like, the DEA on the border of Southern California with Immigration and drugs coming over. He was undercover narcotics. We kind of have a strong (sic) against immigrants even coming over the border. I don't know if that is what you are asking for or not.

THE COURT: I am asking about that because some of the parties to the case are detainees in an immigration facility. They have not been -- they are not criminals as such. They are not there for criminal reasons. They are being held pending immigration action. I would like to know if you have strong feelings about them that would affect your judgment as to whether they might be entitled to minimum wage under the State's Minimum Wage Act.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Okay. No, that's okay. That clarifies it. Thank you.

THE COURT: You think you can start into this trial without feelings that would affect your judgment for one side or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Not exactly sure because most of the people that came over for his job came over illegally.

So I guess, on that part, I would have a strong feeling against them even just coming over. I don't know why they weren't stopped at the border. I don't know if that makes sense. I have a strong feeling against -- my mother had a green card and became an American citizen. My father-in-law had a green card and became an American citizen. I don't have anything against people coming over legally. I just don't understand why they are in a detention facility if they are doing it legally for years.

THE COURT: I expect you'll hear more about that from counsel and further questions.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Okay.

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.

Do any of have you any strong feelings about ICE or about GEO? Any of have you any strong feelings about the State of Washington or any of its departments or anything that might cause you to start into the trial favoring one side or the other? Mr. Krytenberg?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 1: Yeah, frankly, I morally object to privatization of these types of situations. It is slave labor in my opinion. It is morally reprehensible. And I would find it hard to be objective.

THE COURT: With that statement, I think the juror should be excused. You may be excused, Mr. Krytenberg.

Do any of you have any strong feelings about minimum wage

```
laws generally that might cause you to start into the case favoring one side or the other? Any of you have strong feelings about privately run detention centers that might affect your judgment here?
```

Do any of you have strong feelings about class actions, as I described Plaintiff Nwauzor's class participation here?

Yes. Ms. McDonald.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: I don't know that I have a strong opinion. I think I should probably share that, in my role as director of human resources, I have been involved in, you know, class action cases in California that revolved around wage and hours. I just want to make sure --

THE COURT: Is there anything about your experience in that regard that would start you into this case favoring one side or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: I mean, I don't know the answer to the question. Really, if it was in regards to their regular employment, probably would be concerned they weren't paid that minimum wage. This is a little different. I am just trying to keep an open mind.

THE COURT: Do you think you can be fair and impartial going in here and make a fair decision based on the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: I do.

THE COURT: Can all of you accept the law from the

```
Court and apply it here, even though you might disagree with the law? It is important that you do that. Sometimes we are -- even us judges are surprised to find out what the law is in a particular case. Sometimes we have to do things that we -- that are required by the law that we might not like very much. Can all of you accept the law and apply it?
```

Okay. Is there anything about this case, as you understand it now, that might cause any of you to start into the trial with any bias or prejudice or feelings favoring one side or the other? Anything we have not discussed that makes you want to be excused?

All right. It is just about time for a break before we go to --

THE CLERK: Your Honor, No. 13 has his hand raised.

THE COURT: Mr. Crosley.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 13: It sounded like we are wrapping up. I wanted to reiterate my concern over my ability to give this my full attention over the next four weeks with the balance that I will have to strike with work and this. I know other people have that same issue. It is going to be significant for me.

THE COURT: I will keep that under consideration. We will see how we do with the -- we will see how liberal I can be, is what I am telling you.

Ms. Rickabaugh, did you have a question or comment?

```
Sometimes we all move our hands. Sometimes I see a
 1
 2
    movement. All right.
        Now, I gave you an instruction about recesses. I would
 3
    ask you to follow those instructions. We will take about ten
 4
    minutes for a break, and then we will proceed with counsels'
 5
    questions of the panel. All right?
 6
 7
                              (Recessed.)
             THE COURT: We are ready to go to counsels'
 8
    voir dire. Who speaks for plaintiffs first?
 9
             MS. CHIEN: Mr. Whitehead will be speaking for the
10
    plaintiffs.
11
             THE CLERK: The limitation with Zoom, I have to bring
12
    everyone back in, including the panels that are not
13
    participating in order to put them back out again. It will
14
15
    take a minute or two to reorganize everybody again.
             MR. WHITEHEAD: The jury is out of the room right
16
17
    now?
             THE CLERK: The jury was out of the room at that
18
            I just brought them back. Okay, Your Honor, looks
19
    like everybody is back and ready to go.
20
                         Okay. Now, ladies and gentlemen, as I
21
             THE COURT:
22
    indicated earlier, the lawyers have the opportunity to
23
    supplement the questions that I have asked you. They can ask
    questions of the entire panel or questions of individual
24
    jurors.
             I understand Mr. Whitehead, for the plaintiffs, will
25
```

```
go first.
```

So Mr. Whitehead.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning. My name is Jamal Whitehead. I am one of the attorneys representing the private plaintiffs in this case. For most, if not all of you, I am guessing this is your first time going through jury selection. Perhaps from what you have seen on TV you may be thinking this is the part of the trial where the lawyers ask trick questions to psychoanalyze you. I don't do it that way. What I want to know is who wants to be on the jury, not who I am trying to kick off. Most importantly, I want to know who can listen to the evidence in this case with an objective mindset. I can't give you any specific details. In fact, I would get in trouble if I talked about the evidence.

What we can talk about are some of the principles that you will need to wrestle with if you serve as a juror. I will ask questions to get the ball rolling. I am not trying to pry or embarrass you. I want you to be brutally honest with me and yourself about whether you can serve on this case with an open mind. The goal is to be impartial. We call it bias. If you are already leaning in one direction or the other, doesn't mean there is anything wrong with you. If you already have strong notions about the issues in this case, it just means there are some issues that are so close to home

that it is difficult to sit and listen to the evidence fairly. It is like asking a new parent who is the cutest baby in the world.

With that in mind, let's just talk.

You heard from the judge's introduction, this case involves people who are currently or formerly detained in an immigration detention center. The case isn't about immigration, but it involves immigrants. If you follow the news or politics, you know immigration is a hot-button topic. Some people think we should build walls to keep immigrants out because they are taking jobs. Others think we should build bridges to take more in because we are a nation that welcomes immigrants.

My question is: Looking at these two views, which one are you closer to? Anybody want to get us started?

Juror No. 7. Thank you. Tell us about it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: As I answered in my questionnaire, I don't have any strong feelings one way or the other way. I think a wall is a little ridiculous. That is more money out of the pockets of the taxpayers. Bridges, when they come over, I guess they get money and education and things like that to get them started. We all started out as immigrants really. Technically, the country is built on it. I don't really have any strong feelings one way or the other.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing and getting the

ball rolling.

Who else would like to share? Juror No. 3?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 3: I would say I lean more toward bridges. I work at a university. I have many students who are either immigrants themselves or children of immigrants. Hearing their story, I am very sympathetic to the struggles they face.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing.

By show of hands, who feels the same as Juror No. 3. Hold them up until I call your number. Juror No. 4, Juror No. 6, Juror No. 8, Juror No. 11, Juror No. 14, Juror No. 16.

Juror No. 8, go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: I am actually towards the wall even though I would not be an American citizen if immigrants weren't here. My mother was Canadian, my father was from Holland, both came over, one as a young adult, my mother as a child. But they -- no one was ever in a detention facility. I have two close people that never went to a detention facility. Both have become American citizens.

I am actually against the whole facility. I think if they are coming over, if they have a reason to be stalled at the facility, they shouldn't come over. Does that make sense?

MR. WHITEHEAD: It does. Thank you for sharing. I guess I think back to one of the responses you gave to the judge, you were talking about your husband's experiences on

border enforcement. I don't want to put words in your mouth.

I believe you said something along the lines of it mattered to you whether or not people came to the country legally or illegally. Did I get that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Yes.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Why does that matter to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Well, illegally we don't know what they are bringing over. So many have brought over either illnesses or contraband. Most of my -- I have lot -- I have two nephews that have come over. I have a brother. Lots of people have come over. They have all come over legally. They have all followed the rules. We have laws. That's why we have laws. People coming over, I can understand if someone is seeking asylum and coming over, but I don't believe they are put in a detention facility because, you know, jails are full, so send them back. I'm sorry. That is my opinion.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you. The judge is going to instruct you on the law. Everyone in this case agrees that folks that are in the detention center itself are not being held there as punishment. The circumstances of the people and how they found themselves in detention, it does vary. My question to you is this: If there were people that came to the United States without prior permission, do your experiences and beliefs make it such that you are leaning

```
against those folks, even if it is just a little bit?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Yes, if -- yes, if they
    just came over and they want -- okay, they are put -- I am
 3
    kind of, stay in your country, get the visa, do it right, and
 4
                     That's what we are built on. We are built
 5
    then come over.
    on all those people. Does that make sense?
 6
 7
             MR. WHITEHEAD:
                             The people that came without prior
    permission, they face an uphill battle for you as you sit and
 8
    look at this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8:
10
                                   Yes.
             MR. WHITEHEAD: When I get done, opposing counsel
11
    will have questions for you, the judge may have questions for
12
    you, will you give them the same answer you gave me?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
14
                                 Yes.
15
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you. Juror No. 11, I am going
    to channel my mother right now. Juror No. 11, where do you
16
17
    fall?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: A little bit toward bridges.
18
    I think it is more of a demographics type thing. Where our
19
20
    population is getting older and more of us are retiring, we
    are not reproducing at the rate that we need to be to support
21
22
    retirees so that support needs to come from somewhere.
        Just based on that, I kind of lean toward bridges.
23
    have sympathy for a lot of people I think coming from Central
24
              They are leaving really bad conditions, and they
25
    America.
```

```
are coming up here. I know it is illegal and there should be a legal framework for people to immigrate to the United States. I understand their plight. I do, you know, they -- they should not come illegally, but I understand, I guess.
```

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing. I appreciate that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 5: Can you hear me? You are back at the building walls, building bridges. I don't feel like, while I have many feelings for all different people, that the immigration policy is not about feelings. It is about following our immigration law and our immigration policy.

Our policy is a virtual wall. I am kind of on the line with Juror No. 8, that follow the law, you are welcome to be here. If you don't follow the law, we will help you follow the law and get you what you need in order to be here legally. For our country to support many, many immigrants for the sake of them not following our immigration law, we have a problem.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing. So look,

Juror No. 8, is it the case that before you have heard any
evidence in this case, folks that came to the United States
without prior permission, are they facing an uphill battle in
this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Can you say that again?

MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes. We all have our feelings and our beliefs. I guess I am following up on what you just expressed. Before you heard any evidence in this case, we are just sitting here at neutral. Is it the case that folks that may have come to the United States without prior permission, are they facing an uphill battle for you in this case in trying to decide what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: Absolutely they are facing an uphill battle. That's tough to come across the border illegally, get across, find a job, get citizenship, that's difficult. But there is a road, there is a path, there is information. There is ways to get that information. There is plenty of people willing to provide that information, that's why we have the laws. It is difficult as a child that lives here -- anybody who lives here that is not an immigrant, it is difficult for a child to raise and turn 13 and turn 16 and save money to buy a car and go to college and get out on their own. It is difficult for everybody. They are not the only ones that struggle, but the information is out there and that's -- we need to go get that information.

MR. WHITEHEAD: I maybe asked the question inartfully. Really, what I am driving at is, you, as the person sitting and listening to the evidence, do you feel like just sitting at neutral maybe you are leaning against folks that came to this country without prior permission?

what? If my neighbor came to me and said that they are here illegally, I would ask them, would you like to be here legally? And yes, let's help you be here legally. I love the diversity we have in our country. It is absolutely phenomenal. I know the best people that speak many different languages. My grandmother came from Mexico. I know the story. It is wonderful. We have to do it legally.

MR. WHITEHEAD: I really appreciate you sharing.

Let's get some other folks we haven't heard from. Juror

No. 6, could you share with us, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 6: I was brought to the
United States when I was 16 years old by my parents. I
worked my way up to be a naturalized citizen. That's my
status, I am a U.S. citizen. I do know the struggles that
people go through to get to the United States. It is easy to
say, oh, there is a way to get, what you say, legalized easy.
It is not that easy for somebody. Like, I been there. I
been there. I know. A lot of people, they don't have a
person in the United States that can sponsor them to come to
the United States. The only way they can come here is
illegal. I'm sorry, yeah. It is illegal, sad, when you hear
the stories of people trying to cross. It is sad. Make you
cry. Not easy. It is not easy to get citizenship.

Juror No. 16.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 16: I would lean more toward bridges. I really am trying to be more objective and neutral in this situation. I do feel how Juror 7 said we all come from immigrants. I know doing my ancestry, there was a lot of immigration. Whether it was legally or illegally, I can't say for sure. It was an opportunity for me to be here today. I empathize also with the human suffering. I am a social worker by nature. Social justice and things like that are really my passion right now.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you. Who else haven't we heard from? Juror No. 2, have we heard from you yet?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: Can you hear me?

MR. WHITEHEAD: I can.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: I do not support illegal immigration. If they are going to do it, they need to come the right way. With everything that is going on now, with all the veterans and everything, I do not support illegal immigration at all.

MR. WHITEHEAD: My question is this: I know you will sit and listen to the evidence. I won't ask you that question. Just sitting here, not having heard any evidence in this case, would you say that you are leaning against my clients because they may be held in a detention facility? Is that a problem for you in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: Yes. 1 2 MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing. If the judge 3 or opposing counsel were to ask you that question, would you give the same answer? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: Yes, sir. 5 MR. WHITEHEAD: Is that everyone? Did we get 6 7 everybody? No. 12. THE COURT: Just a second. I don't know how you have divided up your time. You have used better than 15 minutes, 9 10 Mr. Whitehead. MR. WHITEHEAD: Then I will stop there. It was nice 11 speaking with everyone. I will pass the baton. Thank you. 12 MS. CHIEN: Hi. My name is Marsha Chien, and I 13 represent the State of Washington. Mr. Whitehead has asked 14 15 you couple of questions about immigration. I would like to switch gears a little bit. I am hoping you can be as 16 17 brutally honest with me as you were with Mr. Whitehead. In addition to the people that Mr. Whitehead represents, 18 there are two other types of entities that are part of this 19 20 case, a private company and the government. I would like to talk to you about the role of private companies and the 21 22 government. I would like to start by asking what people think is the 23 purpose of a private company? Maybe we can start with Juror 24

No. 7. What is the purpose of a private company?

25

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: I quess I am not really
 1
 2
    understanding that question. Can you say it a different way
 3
    maybe?
             MS. CHIEN: Sure. Why do private companies exist?
 4
    How about that? Why do businesses exist?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: Like entrepreneurship? Like
 6
 7
    you own your own company or a large CEO?
 8
             MS. CHIEN: Let's start with Amazon. Why does Amazon
    exist?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: To sell products to the
10
    consumer and make money.
11
             MS. CHIEN: Does anyone else have other ideas of what
12
    you think the purpose of a private company is? Juror No. 13?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 13: The purpose of a private
14
15
    company is to create wealth and opportunity for the company
    and also the people that work there. One of the benefits,
16
17
    because there is the profit motivation, generally quality is
    better for any goods or services that a private company would
18
    engage in over some type of government entity where there is
19
20
    no incentive -- profit incentive to do a good job.
                         Thank you, Juror No. 13.
21
             MS. CHIEN:
22
        Does anyone have other ideas about what a private -- the
    purpose of a private company, other than what Juror 7 and
23
    Juror 13 just mentioned? Juror No. 5?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 5: A private company provides a
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
service that the government doesn't provide. We are talking
about profit versus non-profit. The government provides that
general service for the -- the government provides the
general service in the community that we can't cover, for
instance, protection, protection by our police force, ICE.
Any of those companies' protection is one of the main things
our government provides, schools. Private does what the
government doesn't do.
        MS. CHIEN: This is a good time to switch to
government and ask people what they think the purpose of a
government is. I will start with Juror No. 14.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: The purpose of the government
is to ensure the safety and well-being of the populus, to
ensure laws and infrastructure, that sort of thing, are
enforced and maintained.
        MS. CHIEN: Juror No. 12, I see your head nodding.
Can I ask you the purpose of what a government is?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: People that provide services
when needed, maintain roads, bridges, that type of thing.
        MS. CHIEN: Can I stick with you for my next
question?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: Sure.
        MS. CHIEN: How can you tell if a government is
successful?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If your bridges are falling down,
```

```
your roads are crumbling, people aren't being cared for, that would be a failure on the government's part. I pay taxes and that. Those taxes go for, you know, roads, bridges, for taking care -- people that can't take care of themselves, our veterans that are coming back that are in need. I think we need to take care of those people that have served us. Like I say, other people that can't help themselves that we should try to provide for the well-being of our citizens.
```

MS. CHIEN: Thank you. Do other people agree with Mr. Monta or have other ideas of how you can determine whether a government is successful? How about, I am going to turn a little bit to talk about a specific government agency, the Attorney General's Office. Can you please raise your hand if you have heard of the Attorney General's Office suing a private company or --

THE COURT: You got frozen there, Ms. Chien. I don't know what happened.

MS. CHIEN: All right. Apologies.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Chien.

MS. CHIEN: I would like to ask if everyone can raise your hand if you have heard of the Attorney General's Office suing a person or business to protect the citizens. 11, 12, 13. Okay.

Can I ask Juror No. 11, what was the lawsuit about? What did you think about it?

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: I believe -- I am not certain
         I believe Boeing was sued a few years ago. I can't
remember the particulars of the case. I think they were
      It was something -- I can't remember what it was.
                                                         Ι
do remember a lawsuit a few years ago against Boeing.
        MS. CHIEN: Do other people remember other lawsuits
the Attorney General's Office has brought? Okay.
people think the Attorney General sues too many people.
you raise your hand if you think the Attorney General sues
too many people and shouldn't be meddling in the affairs of
private business? Let's start with Juror No. 14.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: Sorry, I zoned out.
                                                    The
question was: Do I think they sue too many people?
        MS. CHIEN:
                    Yeah.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: I don't think so. I think
they are being prudent and bringing forward lawsuits that
have merit, and there is a process to ensure that is
happening. I don't have any concerns about that in
```

particular.

MS. CHIEN: Thank you. Juror No. 8, do you agree the Attorney General's -- do you have any feelings about the Attorney General's Office bringing lawsuits against private businesses or individuals?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8: No, I would think -- they are there to protect us. If they see something that is hurting

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the public, I think that is their job to stand up for us and protect us.

MS. CHIEN: Okay. Juror No. 4, can I ask you to weigh in on whether or not the Attorney General is suing too many private individuals or businesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 4: I don't think I have a strong opinion on the matter. I did raise my hand as to uphold the law of the land. If they are successful, not there is peace in the land, but to do that -- like, I don't know what the number was last year. I think she said that the job -- that is their job is to kind of maintain order, like a check and balance type system. I don't have an opinion on whether the Attorney General sues too many people one way or the other. I maybe don't have enough information to know whether they do or not. I have never actually looked into that. like that is their job. I think in the preceding cases that would be handled that way, responsibly like in a court setting and let the people decide. I suppose that would be my answer. If they are suing people, they must have a reason to do it because that is their job. I hope that answers the question.

MS. CHIEN: Thank you. I think I haven't heard from Juror 2. If you could weigh in on whether or not the Attorney General's Office -- if you think the Attorney General's Office should sue private businesses.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: To be honest, I don't
 1
 2
    understand any of that.
             MS. CHIEN: Okay. That's fair.
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: I just go to work and come
 4
 5
    home.
             MS. CHIEN: Juror 13, I saw you nodding a couple
 6
            Have you heard of lawsuits the Attorney General has
 7
    brought, and what did you think about them?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 13: I have heard of lawsuits. As
    you asked for specifics, it occurred to me I couldn't come up
10
    with a specific. I think reputationally I think the Attorney
11
    General's Office is kind of known -- I don't know, felt like
12
    a big uptick in the number of cases or the publicity around
13
    them. I can't objectively say that it is too much or
14
15
    anything like that. I think success rate probably predicts
    if it is too much or too little. That is it.
16
17
             MS. CHIEN: You mentioned you had heard the Attorney
    General's Office -- there was an uptick on lawsuits the
18
    Attorney General's Office brings. Do you understand why there
19
    might have been an uptick or what the lawsuits were about?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 13: Seemed to coincide when Trump
21
22
    was in office, there was more activity. I may be responding
23
    to publicity I see on the local news. Seemed I noticed it a
    lot more over the last four to five years, a lot more
24
    activity. Again, sorry, no specifics.
25
```

MS. CHIEN: Did you have any opinion about the 1 2 increase in activity? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 13: Nothing is wrong. 3 Generally skeptical when I see a lot of publicity around things and 4 5 question some motives. Nothing strong at all. MS. CHIEN: Tell me more what you mean about question 6 7 motives? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 13: Sometimes people's activity is driven by publicity, especially in the political realm. 9 think it is important for leaders, like an Attorney General 10 to make sure that people understand that he and his 11 department are fighting for Washingtonians. Sometimes when 12 you watch that kind of coverage, I scratch my head and 13 14 wonder: Is it because it is important or is it because it is 15 enhancing a reputation? MS. CHIEN: If the Attorney General is bringing a 16 17 case here, would you be skeptical why the Attorney General's Office is bringing the case here? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 19 MS. CHIEN: Okay. The Court mentioned this case 20 involves minimum wage. I have one last question. I was 21 22 wondering, who do you think should be enforcing our state 23 minimum wage laws? I would like to start with Juror No. 5. THE COURT: I think that is pretty close to the line 24 of questions that you can't expect the jurors to know the 25

answer.

MS. CHIEN: That's fair. Does anyone have any concerns about the State Attorney General's Office bringing lawsuits against private business? Nope. Okay.

Thank you for your time. I appreciate it. Looking forward to spending a couple weeks with you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Chien.

Who speaks for the defendant now? Ms. Mell.

MS. MELL: Good morning, folks. My name is

Joan Mell. I represent the GEO Group. I, too, like

Mr. Whitehead, have no capacity or ability or credentials to
dig into your psychoanalytic history or nitpick your
background in that way.

I am a people person. I love this process of getting a chance to talk to you. I am appreciative of your time today and am hoping to get to know you better for the purposes of making sure the GEO Group gets a fair trial, and that, honestly, plaintiff gets a fair trial. It is most important that both sides have a fair shot at you helping them decide this case. So the more you can tell me about yourself and any thoughts you have strongly about some of the issues you might be grappling with hearing this case will only make the process fairer.

I am going to be a little bit snoopy. One of the things I am going to delve into is politics. When I say the name "Bob

```
Ferguson," what comes to mind? Anybody have a reaction to
 1
 2
    the name Bob Ferguson? Does anybody know who Bob Ferguson
    is?
 3
        Juror No. 12, who is Bob Ferguson?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: The State Attorney General.
 5
             MS. MELL: Who knows who if the State Attorney
 6
    General is an elected position? Juror No. 11, elected or not
 7
 8
    elected?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: The Attorney General is
    elected.
10
             MS. MELL: Some people debate which public officials
11
    should be elected and which should be appointed. Who has
12
    weighed in on the debate on perhaps a judge appointment? Who
13
    has feelings whether or not an official like the Attorney
14
15
    General should be appointed or elected? Anybody have strong
    feelings on that?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My question is: When you say
    elected or appointed, elected by the people. The appointment
18
    would be by whom?
19
20
             MS. MELL: Depends on who the State decides gets to
    make the decision. The debate around judges, for instance,
21
22
    has been whether they should be appointed by other lawyers
    and judges. With the AG, it might be the governor. Would
23
    the governor be an appropriate executive or elected Attorney
24
    General, why or why not?
25
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In that case, I am for being an elected official and not appointed. I think there is an incredible bias one way or the other, depending on who the governor is, who voted for the governor in that way. I would like the ability to vote, if I could.

MS. MELL: That brings up another issue on voting. Some people say you always vote partisan. If you are democrat, you have to vote democrat. If you are republican, you vote republican. Other people say, I don't want to pick sides. I want to vote for who I like, what they believe in, what they stand for.

Who has strong feelings about whether or not it should be partisan -- R, D -- or you should have your decision to vote for whoever you want?

Juror No. 14?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: I really strongly feel you shouldn't vote necessarily just for your party. You really should just pick the best person. It is probably not going to be very often you pick somebody that is outside of your party, but the fact that you go in with that open mind, I think, is better for our system of government.

MS. MELL: Anybody else have strong feelings about that?

Juror No. 11?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: I pretty strongly believe you

shouldn't have to register for a party and then vote along those lines. I agree with Juror 14, you should make a decision based on the individual that is up for election and not just because of the party they belong to. If there is people in the state of Washington, particularly the area of the secretary of state, that she's a republican, on most issues, I am not in agreement with republicans, but she does a really good job of administering her duties as the secretary of state for Washington. I will vote for her because she does a good job. I think people should be a little bit more -- less tribal, I think, in their voting.

MS. MELL: Who has an issue here, all of you being from the south sound, with the weight of the Seattle vote, King County? Has anybody heard that King County elects its officials across the state? If you don't have the King County vote, you're not being elected. Any south sounders here have an issue with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. I do. I'll be very honest. Our whole state, the decision of our whole state is weighed within one central area, just based on population. So, sure, sure, I have an issue.

The Attorney General, when we vote Attorney General, doesn't matter what our party is, we can vote for either way when it comes to Attorney General. You were talking about voting party line on that. You can vote opposite parties on

that.

MS. MELL: There is a system here when it comes down to the final day, you can choose who you want to vote for.

That's true.

Tell me about issues like strong feelings that you would have about a private corporation that is in the detention business. Some people say, for instance, they would never invest in a corporation that they didn't believe in. Other people would say, if the corporation pays dividends and is running a successful, healthy company, that's what we are looking for, that's why we have corporations to invest in and that makes the market economy successful. Others would say, you know, I don't even know what my stocks or my investments are.

Who tracks their investment such they wouldn't invest in a company they don't believe in? Anybody else?

No. 12. Juror No. 12, tell me about your beliefs on corporations and how you should invest in them.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: Can you hear me?

MS. MELL: I can.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: You can. Okay. I was going to say, yeah, I mean, I don't know if I am a big investor, whatever. I would hope that my investments were with corporations that were honest, that were making a profit, not off of exploiting people or whatever, but, you know, making

```
the profits honestly than that and morally would be -- I
 1
 2
    would hope that my -- most of my investments are in where I
    used to work and that, so, you know, I don't know that -- I
 3
    am not a big investor. I would want -- I would hope that
 4
    these companies are getting -- making a profit morally,
 5
    ethically right, that they are not exploiting people in
 6
 7
    situations like that. That is probably hard for some, I
    guess.
 9
             MS. MELL: Tell me this: Is it immoral to be a
    privately run detention center and develop income from
10
    detention services providing a facility for the safe and
11
    secure needs of people in government custody?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: I am not a big expert on it --
13
             THE COURT: Excuse me. Hold on a second. I am not
14
15
    hearing anything here. There is something going on with my
    hearing aids.
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: Am I still on?
             THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let's see what is going
18
    on with the sound. Where is Tyler?
19
             THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
20
             THE COURT: All of a sudden there, Tyler, I lost the
21
            I couldn't hear.
22
    sound.
             THE CLERK: It still shows you as hooked up to audio.
23
    Do we need to switch to the headset?
24
25
             THE COURT: I can't hear him.
```

```
THE CLERK: Rachel, do we need to switch to the
 1
 2
    headset?
        Your Honor, it looks like you are muted.
 3
                         Okay. Ms. Mell, can you hear me?
             THE COURT:
 4
             MS. MELL: Yes, Your Honor, I can.
 5
             THE COURT: Okay. I can hear you so I guess whatever
 6
 7
    happened, happened. I don't know what it was. I am on the
    other system now. Let's go back to where you were, your
 9
    question.
10
             MS. MELL: I am going to ask a new question. I asked
    about investing in corporations. If you are not an investor
11
    and not putting money into the stock market, how about
12
    working for a company you don't believe in the premise, or
13
    you believe they are doing something that you don't like?
14
15
    Anybody here believe you should only work for a company and
    only take a job you believe in? Juror No. 7?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: I personally would only work
    for a company that I believe in. That doesn't mean everybody
18
    has to do that. A lot of people, they take their jobs where
19
20
    they can get them.
             MS. MELL: If I asked you to work for a company that
21
22
    invests in properties and develops properties for detention
23
    purposes, is that a place you would consider working?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: That would be fine because, to
24
    be perfectly honest, I didn't even know what these detention
25
```

facilities were until it was a word on the questionnaire. I didn't know they existed. It is something way down in Florida, there were kids being kept in some kind of detention center, and that's about all I knew about that.

MS. MELL: We are not in Florida, and we are not on the border doing those kind of things. Who here believes they heard something in the media that they believe is going on in the detention center? Does anybody have a belief the detention center holds minors?

Do you believe the detention center -- somehow GEO's exploiting anybody there or has any control over the people that are there?

Juror No. 4?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 4: Is it okay to say I never heard of GEO before this day?

MS. MELL: It is. It absolutely is.

Let's see, Juror No. 6, I wanted to ask you whether or not you had the experience, since you talked about your history of coming to the country when you were 16, have you, since living here, been involved in the immigration issues down at the detention center?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 6: I was never detained by anybody. I never been involved in any kind of detention or have any experience in that kind of environment.

MS. MELL: In terms of feelings about that part of

the immigration process, do you have strong feelings and negative feelings about the way detention works or doesn't work or the fact it exists?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 6: This is the first time I hear it is a private company. I thought it was always the government, like the government offices dealing with people who they caught. This is the first time I hear it is actually a different company dealing with immigration.

MS. MELL: Does everyone know the detention center down on the Tideflats actually has courtrooms in it, and ICE operates out of there? People know that ICE is functioning down there, and the processing occurs by the courts who are federal workers, who are part of Homeland Security? No? Yes? This is going to be fun. You are going to learn everything about what goes on in Tacoma.

All right. Juror No. 11, I see you work for ecology, I think, yes. Do I have the right agency?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: Yes, I do.

MS. MELL: Have you been involved in your work there with the debates that go on in the legislative arena over privatization versus government jobs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: I don't work in the regulatory or the legislative part of the Department of Ecology. I work on the science arm. We do research, environmental research and that kind of thing.

MS. MELL: Do you have a feeling that government only should operate detention?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: I kind of distinguish between detention facilities and prisons. I don't know that much about detention facilities, so I can't provide an answer on that. I don't believe that private companies should run prisons, however.

MS. MELL: What is the difference between a private company running a prison and detention in your mind? What is the difference?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: Like I said, I don't know that much about detention facilities. My sense is the people that are in the detention facilities, the immigrants, are being detained because they are waiting to be -- see if they have a legal case to become citizens or get on the path to citizenship, so they are being detained for that.

People in prison have been convicted of a crime. It is a different thing. I don't know if I am right in that or not, but that's kind of my sense of it.

MS. MELL: Has anybody been here since 2007 when Washington grappled with the issue of private use of inmate labor relative to its constitution? Anybody remember that discussion? So there was a conversation that went on at the state level about inmate labor and use and private corporations being able to use inmate labor. Proponents put

out a statement in support that basically said offenders shouldn't just sit idle while they serve their time in state prison. They should work to reduce the burden on taxpayers by paying room and board or other money that they owe. The one sure way to accomplish this is to allow private for-profit businesses to employ offenders in our prisons without putting the public safety at risk. Offenders working promotes both inside safe -- safety both inside and outside our prisons.

Now, the opposite side was out there saying --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Get to a question, please.

MS. MELL: There was obviously an opposing side of that. The opposing side was, that would compete with private industry. Who has strong feelings on either the proposed or the opposition of that issue? How about the issue of minimum wage versus independent contract work? Who on this panel works as an independent contractor? Who -- let's talk about the Minimum Wage Act. Minimum Wage Act. Some people think the government should be involved in setting a minimum wage. Other people would say the market sets the rate, and it facilitates jobs when you don't have rates set by the government. Who is strongly on the side of government setting the minimum wage?

Juror No. 14, tell us about your beliefs in the government

setting the minimum wage.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 14: I think it is just a risk that we shouldn't take. I would hate to see anybody being exploited, which can happen, unfortunately. Not everyone has the same concern for their fellow man and so, you know, some businesses, some individuals who run a business could exploit workers. So, really, to have a fair chance to compete in our -- or to succeed in our economy, workers should have minimum wage, probably a living wage, really. I would say that is necessary. I don't know about these people. They don't sound like regular employees. Again, I don't have an opinion for sure on this group. For a regular employee, they should have a minimum wage, and let's hope it is a living wage as well.

MS. MELL: How about on the question of if the government should set it? Who on the panel thinks local government should set the rate or it should be set at the federal level?

Juror No -- let's see, 12, tell me what you think about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12: Yeah. I hope -- yeah, I don't think it should just be a blanket minimum wage or whatever. It can vary from county to county or whatever. That would be my thought on it, belief. There is -- the cost of living in Seattle is higher than obviously some of the eastern counties

in that. You would have -- it would be -- I can see where there would be a difference in the minimum wage, what it costs to live in Ritzville compared to Seattle.

MS. MELL: Juror 16, how about you? Have you known anyone or experience in the debate about minimum wages where the concern was if the rate is set at a rate that is too high, that the program or the job would go away?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 16: I don't have any opinions either way. I am kind of neutral on this at this point. I don't have any strong feelings either way.

MS. MELL: Does anybody have the experience of losing a job over minimum wages?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have friends that lost jobs at minimum wage. They were hired at a certain rate. When the minimum wage amount went up, was increased, the business reduced their number of employees and managed the business with -- small business, managed the business with two instead of four.

MS. MELL: In that situation, did you or the folks, friends you knew, fault the employer or did they fault the government? Or they just -- (inaudible) natural transition?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They did not fault the employer.

They understood when a policy is made, the employer does not have a choice on that. They did not fault the employer.

They had to go find another job.

MS. MELL: So on the more sensitive issue of immigration; who has feelings that detention should not be a tool of immigration, all the needs of our country could be handled through non-secure methods, home monitoring perhaps, some tool or implement like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Who pays for that?

MS. MELL: That is an issue that I was going to ask you when we were talking about the Attorney General's lawsuits. Who thinks that it is -- do I want to say that? Who thinks the Attorney General has the decision-making authority over what happens to any recovery in the lawsuits that he brings? Do people know what happens? Who follows the legislative cycle? Anybody here gone down and testified on the budget bill, weighed in on appropriation to agencies? No?

How about back to the issue of what is the purpose? I want to kind of build on Mr. Whitehead's bridges-versus-walls issue. Does anybody have strong feelings -- I guess some people would say that those people who don't follow the paperwork and don't get here following the rules are not a threat to our communities because they are fleeing asylum or other unpleasant, untenable situations. Others would say that anybody who is in the United States who hasn't followed the paperwork necessarily are a threat to our safety and security. Who has an opinion strongly on one side or the

```
other of that framing of the issue?
 1
 2
        How about No. 16?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 16: No, I don't have strong
    feelings either way at this time.
 4
             THE COURT: Ms. Rickabaugh had her hand up a minute
 5
 6
    ago.
             MS. MELL: No. 4.
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 4: I did. Can you rephrase the
 8
    question for a moment? I lost my train of thought.
             MS. MELL: Oh, sure. Are you on the side of
10
    believing that anyone who hasn't followed the paperwork
11
    presents a security threat to the United States or are you on
12
    the side of if they didn't follow the paperwork, they are
13
    fleeing asylum or some other threat and don't pose a threat
14
15
    being in the United States?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 4: That is going to come down to
16
17
    an individual. I -- I guess -- I can't really say I am pro
18
19
20
```

one or the other because some people have to come if they are fleeing a threat. You know, not only for immigration, but in any circumstance because fleeing a threat, sometimes you do things you wouldn't normally do for safety because that's our nature. I suppose once they are detained, for lack of a better way to put it, discovering the individual situation and then determining from there what the best thing to do would be would probably be where I would want to land. I

21

22

23

24

25

```
don't know what the law specifically says on that. I don't think we can blink at everybody that way. Like I said, people are trying to come, there is no imminent threat from where they are coming from. They want to become a citizen of the United States and go through the proper route or whatever legal route, you know. I know people who have had trouble just -- it is a long process, but have done it legally and well. I don't know anybody that has come illegally and then pursued a legal route. I think, yeah, it would have to be on an individual basis. We can't just catch and return people just because they came illegally. I think it should be -- we should take them on a personal, individual, as a human case-by-case basis. Did I answer your question?
```

MS. MELL: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Rickabaugh.

Your time has elapsed, Ms. Mell.

MS. MELL: Thank you, everyone.

THE COURT: Mr. Crosley, tell me a little bit more about your work problem that you described earlier.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: Director of applications at an insurance company. There is a lot of teams and a lot of people that report to me. Knowing now this could be four plus weeks, I think it is going to be very difficult for me to be not available during business hours for that duration. I want to do my duty and everything. If it was going to be a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
shorter stint, I could juggle both and get work done in the
evening and into the night. That amount of weeks, I think is
going to have a really negative impact on my ability to do my
job and see projects through.
        THE COURT: Who is it you work for?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: Mutual of Enumclaw.
        THE COURT: Say that again.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 11: I work for Mutual of Enumclaw
in Enumclaw, Washington.
        THE COURT: Mr. Troemel, you also had a work issue.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2:
                              Yeah.
        THE COURT: Mostly -- go ahead. I'm sorry.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: I am the sole provider for my
family right now. I cannot -- I am losing about $300 a day.
My kids will be home here -- school is out in, like, two
days. I am not going to be able to do this. I cannot miss
work.
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2: Not for $50 a day.
                                                 It is too
much of a burden. I make about $300 day. Being the only
sole provider for my family, I cannot miss out on the work.
        THE COURT: Ms. Tooley, did you get your computer
deal squared away with, who was it? Your mother?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 7: I am sure it will probably be
      I will let you know if it is not.
fine.
```

```
THE COURT:
                         Okay. We will turn to challenges for
 1
 2
            For this period, we are going to put you back in the
    cause.
 3
    waiting room and we will be back with you hopefully very
    shortly.
 4
 5
             THE CLERK: This will take a minute, Your Honor.
                                                                Ι
    will let you know when we are ready to proceed.
 6
 7
        It appears that all the jurors are no longer in this
    session.
             THE COURT: All right. I am inclined to excuse Juror
            He not only has his work issues, but also some of the
10
    No. 2.
    things he said about this, I think, qualify him for release.
11
    Is there any objection to excusing Mr. Troemel?
12
             MS. MELL: No, Your Honor.
13
                         No objection.
14
             MS. CHIEN:
15
             MR. WHITEHEAD:
                             No objection.
             THE COURT: I didn't hear.
16
17
             MR. WHITEHEAD:
                             This is private plaintiffs, no
    objection here.
18
                         No objection from the State.
19
             MS. CHIEN:
20
             THE COURT: Ms. Mell, you said no objection?
             MS. MELL:
21
                        No objection.
             THE COURT: Juror No. 2 will be excused.
22
        Challenges for cause, first for the plaintiff.
23
             MR. WHITEHEAD: We would challenge Juror No. 8.
24
25
    expressed a clear bias against illegal immigrants and stated
```

```
unequivocally on the record she would have a problem deciding
 1
 2
    a case involving folks that came to the United States
 3
    illegally.
             THE COURT:
                         Ms. Chien, as to Juror No. 8?
 4
                         We concur with Mr. Whitehead.
 5
             MS. CHIEN:
             THE COURT: Ms. Mell?
 6
 7
             MS. MELL: GEO would object to dismissal for cause.
    I believe that juror would be willing to be fair. She
 8
    explained and expressed an ability to hear both sides of the
    issue and did respond to the questions in a varied way that
10
    is not definitive of an inherent bias on the wage and hour
11
12
    issue.
             THE COURT: I am not sure I understand the objection
13
    for cause as to Juror No. 8.
14
15
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Juror No. 8 is -- yes, she's the
    woman that her husband worked on the border patrol.
16
17
    expressed a viewpoint that folks that came to the
    United States illegally, that was problematic for her.
18
    Knowing the circumstances behind how people end up at the
19
    detention facility are varied, including some people that
20
    came without prior permission, I believe that is the way I
21
22
    put the question to her, she said that would be a problem for
    her. Those people would face an uphill battle, in her mind,
23
    as she sat in view of the evidence.
24
```

I think one of the other questions I asked her is whether

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
or not she was leaning against people that came to the
United States without prior permission.
   Your Honor, both of those responses indicate that she
would not be able to sit with an objective mindset and listen
to the evidence in this case.
        MS. SCHEFFEY: I would add, my notes say Juror 8 --
        THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Wait a
minute. Ms. Mell's game here, I am afraid. I am not afraid.
But it is her. One a side, one a party.
        MS. MELL: Yes, Your Honor, we would maintain that
this particular witness (sic) said she could keep an open
mind and was not biased on the ultimate issue, which was wage
and hour.
        THE COURT: I am satisfied that I should deny the
challenge for cause. You know, during this voir dire, we
were all over the place on everything except the issues in
this case regarding minimum wage paid to detainees. I am
satisfied anyway that Juror No. 8 should not be excused for
cause.
   Any other challenges, Mr. Whitehead?
        MR. WHITEHEAD: No, I don't believe I have any other
for cause.
        THE COURT: Ms. Chien?
        MS. CHIEN:
                    Nothing for cause.
```

Ms. Mell?

THE COURT:

```
MS. MELL:
                        No, Your Honor, nothing for cause.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: How many remain from this flight, Tyler?
             THE CLERK: I believe that would leave 11 out of the
 3
    original 16. Five were excused.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. Better than I anticipated.
 5
                                                            A11
            I guess we will go to the next flight. Wait a
 6
    right.
    minute. I better --
 7
             THE CLERK: Did you want to bring in Juror No. 2 to
 8
    tell him he's excused?
             THE COURT: I should talk to the whole panel.
10
             THE CLERK: Just those initial 16 or 11 now? Okay.
11
    I am going to have to bring everybody in and then kick them
12
    out again. There is no better way to do this. So just a
13
14
    moment.
15
        I am going to put most of you back in the breakout rooms.
    The original 16 will remain here. Just a moment.
16
17
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             THE CLERK: There is one that is not from this flight
18
    remaining. Just a moment. I am not sure if Juror 25 can
19
    move on her own. I am attempting to put her in the other
20
    breakout room, but it is not working for some reason.
21
22
             THE COURT: I think I have most of our panel here.
        Mr. Troemel, you may be excused. Thank you very much for
23
    coming in and participating this morning.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2:
25
                                   Thank you.
```

THE COURT: The rest of you, just be patient and wait while we interrogate another panel. We will be taking a break at noon for an hour before we get back to the first panel. You can plan on being ready to come back to court after 1:00.

All right.

THE CLERK: If you would remain signed into Zoom, it would be helpful instead of signing out and signing back in.

Do you want me to bring in the second group?

THE COURT: Yes, excuse the first panel and bring in the second panel.

THE CLERK: It will take a minute to get everybody shuffled around. Let me take a minute to put everybody in order.

All right. They are all here in order.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, as the screen indicates, I am Judge Bryan. I'm sorry this takes as long as it takes. You have been patient to wait. I appreciate it. We will go into the afternoon, I am sure, with this process. We will keep moving along, and it is hard to see from your standpoint, but we are making good progress. So hopefully we will have this completed today.

Now, first, we are going to ask you a series of questions.

As I indicated earlier, this requires that all jurors be sworn before we ask you questions touching on your

```
qualifications. I would ask that you raise your right hands,
 1
 2
    and the clerk will administer the oath.
             THE CLERK: Do you and each of you solemnly swear or
 3
    affirm that you will well and truly answer such questions as
 4
    may be asked of you touching upon your qualifications to
 5
    serve as jurors in the trial now before the Court? You can
 6
    say "I do."
 7
         (Jurors responded affirmatively.)
             THE COURT: Please respond "I do," if you have not.
10
        All right. Maybe I should ask anyone that doesn't. All
    right.
            Now, did all of you hear my introduction to the case
11
    that I read earlier?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 32:
13
                                    Yes.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27:
14
                                    Yes.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24:
15
                                    Yes.
             THE COURT: Is there anyone that would make a change
16
17
    to the written information that you provided to the court
    about jury service? Is all that true and correct to the best
18
    of your ability still?
19
        Using the Zoom platform for trials is a fairly new thing
20
    caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. We are trying to find ways
21
22
    to keep the courts open and operate consistent with safety
23
    and health requirements. This requires that all concerned,
    including the jurors, cooperate and promptly report any
24
```

issues. I had an issue earlier where my sound went out for

25

```
some reason. We need to know right away if you suffer any
 1
    loss of audio or visual connection. We need you to cooperate
 2
    with all aspects of what goes on in order to provide a fair
 3
    trial to all concerned.
 4
        Is there anyone that believes you cannot fairly
 5
    participate in these proceedings using the Zoom platform?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. sir.
 7
             THE COURT: Ms. Adkison?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: No. I am okay with
 9
10
    everything.
             THE COURT: You say you are okay with everything?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yes, I am okay with
12
    everything.
13
             THE COURT: I guess the rest of you are, too.
14
15
        We are talking about a time estimate of three weeks for
    the first phase of the case and possibly another week after
16
17
           That is a time estimate. Lawyers and judges are
    terrible time estimators. Once we start, it takes as long as
18
    it takes.
19
        Typically, we will be in court or on the Zoom platform
20
    from nine to noon and one to four every day until the case is
21
22
    over, with morning and afternoon breaks.
        Now, is there anything in your life that would make it
23
    truly difficult or truly unfair for you to be a juror on this
24
```

case?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29:
                                    No, sir.
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25:
                                    Nope.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: I have a vacation planned
 3
    starting on the 24th of this month. This is Smith, No. 20.
 4
    I have a vacation. It goes from the 24 for about a week and
 5
    a half. I would be fine for three weeks. The extra week, I
 6
    won't be available.
 7
             THE COURT: Let me get a new piece of notepaper here.
 8
    You have a reservation, you say, Mr. Smith?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Yeah, it is actually a bowling
10
    tournament. We registered a year ago down in Las Vegas.
11
                                                               Ιt
    has been planned for quite a while.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. You are a participant in the
13
    tournament?
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Yeah.
             THE COURT: I don't want to ask how good a bowler you
16
17
    are.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: It depends.
18
             THE COURT: I want to ask, this is important to you?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Yeah. It is the national
20
    bowling tournament. It is actually a trip my family is going
21
22
    to be going with me this time as well. We are -- we have
    reservations at different places going there and coming back.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I am running what is called a
24
    sweeper for a bowling league on Friday.
25
```

-Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pacific Ave, Tacoma, WA - 253-882-3832-

```
THE COURT: I am -- you are breaking up. I am not
 1
 2
    hearing you very well.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I am running a sweeper for a
 3
    bowling league on Friday. As far as I know, I am the only
 4
 5
    one that can do it.
             THE COURT: You run a sweeper?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: Yes, sir. Yeah. I don't know
 7
    how to explain it. Basically, I am in charge of tracking
 8
    scores, collecting money. It is an add-on to a final season
    for bowling. And I have a vacation flying out of town July
10
    11th.
11
             THE COURT: When is that planned?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: July 11th for five days.
13
             THE COURT: Anyone else?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18:
15
             THE COURT:
                        Mr. Knight.
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Youngest daughter's high
    school graduation and family in town the 15th through the
18
    17th of June.
19
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, I need you to say that again
20
    to hear.
21
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Our youngest daughter's high
    school graduation and family, relatives in from out of town
23
    the 15th through the 17th of June.
24
             THE COURT: Ms. Burton, you have your hand raised.
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: Yes. I have a couple of things. Six days a week for four a hours day in our demonstration garden where we are growing food for the food bank and we provide vegetable starts. It was deemed essential last year when we had the shutdown for COVID; that was the only thing we could do. We are continuing to do that and provide these to our local food banks. I am the only one that runs the garden. Without me, plants won't get watered, food won't go out. I don't know if that means a lot to you. I am being facetious about that. I didn't know what level of concern that would raise for you. For me, it is the job I do right now as a retired person. It is very important to me and certainly the food banks as well. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pereira, you had your hand up, too. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I am a single father and I have a trip planned with my daughter on June 17th, Father's Day weekend through that Monday, I believe, the 21st. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I am having trouble with hearing here. Would you say that again for me? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: As a single father, I have a Father's Day trip planned with my daughter from the 17th through the 21st.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Randle, Washington. We have a

THE COURT: Where are you going?

```
cabin rented.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Mr. Laws, you have your hand up.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: I have a tough work schedule.
 3
    I am working 12-hour shifts. I am usually getting home at
 4
    about two a.m. I think the hardship, you know, me being
 5
    focused on the case, like, I don't see me really being
 6
 7
    focused on the case because, you know, I might -- you know, I
    am going to be probably sleepy most of the time.
    these breaks, I am getting my sleep in.
10
             THE COURT: Mr. MacRobert.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: I am the Washington
11
    association representative to the Public Benefits Employees
12
    Board. We have two meetings scheduled this month, June 9 and
13
    June 30th. I was appointed by Governor Inslee in 2018. I
14
15
    have never missed a meeting. We have some very important
    decisions we are going to be making this month with regard to
16
17
    public employee benefits.
             THE COURT: Anybody else with problems relative to
18
    jury service on this? I will -- you have something further,
19
    Mr. Laws?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30:
21
                                    No.
22
             THE COURT: I will deal with those issues that you
23
    have raised after lunch.
```

computer and an internet that works -- so that you can

Do all of you have the materials -- camera and laptop or

24

```
continue on this Zoom platform? Anyone that cannot?
 1
 2
        Do all of have you a quiet place where you can participate
    online several hours a day as I described, without being
 3
    interrupted?
 4
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Mr. Pereira, you are giving me the
 6
 7
    thumbs-up. I thought you had something to say.
        Anyone that could not give the case your full attention?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, sir.
10
             THE COURT: Do any of you know any of the lawyers
    that I introduced earlier?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, sir.
12
             THE COURT: I am not sure you saw them. Did you see
13
    the lawyers earlier? Well, let me introduce them again.
14
15
        For the plaintiff, we have Mr. Whitehead and Mr. Berger;
    and for the State, Ms. Brenneke, Ms. Chien and Mr. Polozola;
16
17
    and for the defense, Ms. Mell, Ms. Scheffey and
    Mr. Silverman. Right? Okay. All right. Do you know of any
18
    of those lawyers that are involved in the case?
19
        I read a lengthy list of witnesses. Do any of you know or
20
    know of the any of the people on that list?
21
22
        (Jurors respond negatively.)
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: There might be a David
23
    Johnson. I have a brother David Johnson.
24
25
             THE COURT: Where is your brother?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: Portland, Oregon or Long
 1
 2
    Beach, Washington.
             THE COURT: What does he do for a living?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Retired and working for Cabela's.
 4
             THE COURT: I don't think that is the seem one as the
 5
    witness.
 6
 7
        Are any of you or anyone close to you involved with the
 8
    courts or the administration of justice?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: No, sir.
             THE COURT: Any of you involved with law enforcement?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I am retired from law
11
    enforcement.
12
             THE COURT: What did you do before you retired?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The first 17 years I was motor
14
    carrier enforcement officer. The last 17 I was safety
15
    investigator.
16
17
             MS. MELL: Your Honor? Your Honor, I have to ask a
    question. I can't tell if Juror No. 30 is watching and
18
    presenting via his cell phone or if he is doing something
19
    else on his phone.
20
             THE COURT: Thank you. We want you to be paying
21
    attention here even if you feel it is not very interesting.
22
23
        Okay. It is about noontime. I want to remind you not to
    discuss the case with each other or anyone else. Don't let
24
```

anyone talk to you about it. Don't do anything like looking

up things on the internet about the case. Get the case out of your mind for the noon hour, and we will come back to work at 1:00. I appreciate your patience. It has been a long morning already. We are making progress. We will get through this this afternoon, I think. Okay. We will call you back to order at 1:00. THE CLERK: Please remain signed into Zoom. You can go ahead and shut off your camera and microphone. If you

would stay signed in, that would be helpful.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have one question. I am using my iPad and it is down to 45 percent. It was at 100 when we started. I will try and plug it in. If I suddenly disappear, I do have my cell phone right next to it. I can switch over to my cell phone if I need to.

THE COURT: You can go ahead and charge it over Just because we have to rename people because of the numbers system, if you can stay signed in, we would greatly appreciate it. If it is not possible, I understand and we will deal with it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.

(Recessed.)

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 JUNE 1, 2021 3 (The following occurred outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.) 4 THE COURT: Okay. Can you all hear me? The 5 voir dire in the last session, there is way too much talking 6 and way too little questions. You don't require a long 7 dissertation about what the answers might be before you ask questions. I almost cut a couple of you off a couple of times when it was just too much talk. I would call that to 10 your attention. 11 Are we ready to proceed? A lot of these people gave 12 different excuses. I am not sure that any of them in and of 13 themselves were sufficient to justify letting them go. I may 14 15 have some more questions of them about that. Let's bring the jury in and get going. 16 17 THE CLERK: We are just bringing in the second flight, correct? Okay. 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 THE CLERK: Again, everybody will be coming in, and I 20 have to put the other ones out. Give me a minute. 21 22 THE COURT: Tyler, are we about set here? THE CLERK: I need to put the last couple of ones in 23 order and then we should be set. 24 Now we are all ready. We are good to go. 25

```
(The following occurred in the presence of
 1
 2
                       the prospective jurors.)
             THE COURT: All right. Folks, I understand a number
 3
    of you had issues with serving on this case. I am not going
 4
    to rule on those at this time. We will consider them.
 5
        Are you or anyone close to you involved with law
 6
    enforcement or the administration of justice? No lawyers in
 7
    the crowd? No police officers?
        Do any of you have any particular relationship with or
10
    particular knowledge about the Immigration and Customs
    Enforcement agency known as ICE?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I worked with them a couple
12
    times.
            This is No. 19.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26:
14
                                    No.
             THE COURT: Mr. Johnson?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: Yes, sir.
16
17
             THE COURT: Did you add something?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I did. I actually worked with
18
    the agency a few times in my career.
19
20
             THE COURT: What agency?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: ICE and Customs, yes.
21
22
             THE COURT: What was your job with ICE?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I just obtained documentation
23
    and information for them to proceed with a case against --
24
    basically, the driver or the company owner that I was dealing
25
```

```
with.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Going into this case, do you think you
    would have some -- that you would be likely to side with
 3
    whatever side of the case ICE might seem to be on?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: Not in this particular case,
 5
    Your Honor.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Do you think you can be fair and
 8
    impartial on the issue regarding minimum wage?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Do any of you have strong feelings about
10
    immigration or immigrants or the immigration policy that
11
    might cause you to start into the case favoring or
12
    disfavoring one side?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: I do.
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: I do, too.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: I do, too.
16
17
             THE COURT: Let me ask you in order. Let me start, I
    guess you are numbered in order. Let me start up here in the
18
    upper left, who raised their hand?
19
        Ms. Burton, did you have feelings about immigration you
20
    wanted to share?
2.1
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: (Indicated in the negative.)
             THE COURT: Mr. Knight, what comes to mind?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: I just feel we need to be
24
    taking care of our people here right now, and we just seem to
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
be letting a lot of people in that we can't take care of.
it is just difficult. If I got it right, I mean, the people
in the detention center are there because they tried to get
into the country without following the proper channels.
        THE COURT: Well, not all of them.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Sure. I am sure there are
some exceptions. In general, the role of that facility is to
house people while they are waiting for their cases to be
heard and they were there because they didn't follow the
proper channels to gain citizenship.
        THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Johnson, did you have your
hand up?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Mr. Smith? I don't know who raised their
hand about this question. Mr. Groves did.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: I am first generation. My
father and his family came in legally. I have no problem as
long as people follow the rules coming into the country.
        THE COURT: Anyone else have strong feelings about
immigration? Raise your hand.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Can you not see me here?
        THE COURT: Ms. Adkison.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: If they are already in a
```

detention center, they have either come here illegally or

they have been accused of a crime. I think they should be

```
deported if that's the case.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: You are making assumptions without
    hearing the evidence in this case, I am afraid, Ms. Adkison.
 3
    Let me ask you this: Insofar as that may be relevant, and I
 4
    am not sure about that yet, would you be willing and able to
 5
    hear the evidence on that subject as to who is housed in the
 6
    detention center?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yes, I would.
             THE COURT: And make a judgment based on the
 9
    evidence?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yes, I would.
11
             THE COURT: Mr. Pereira.
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I was married to a European
13
    National that went through the legal process to become a
14
15
    naturalized citizen. I am in support of legal citizenship
    and proper channels.
16
17
             THE COURT: Okay. Who else had their hand up here?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30:
                                    No. 30.
18
             THE COURT: Mr. Dazey, No. 28.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: I am in the same camp as
20
    basically everybody else that has spoken so far. I would
21
22
    still, like you say, be willing to listen and see the
23
    demographics of these folks that are in this facility.
             THE COURT: Okay. Who else had their hand up?
24
    Mr. MacRobert.
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: I think on the sheet I filled
 1
 2
    out, I indicated I have some very strong feelings about what
    I consider the school-to-prison pipeline. I am curious, is
 3
    GEO -- GEO is one of the defendants; is that correct?
 4
             THE COURT: Yes.
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: Is GEO a private corporation
 6
    that contracts with the federal government?
 7
             THE COURT: Yes.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: Are they a for-profit
 9
10
    organization?
             THE COURT: Yes.
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: So that's precisely what I
12
    object to is profiting off of someone else's misery.
13
             THE COURT: All right. Okay. Any other comments on
14
15
    that subject? Mr. Gordon?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Thank you, Your Honor. I just
16
              I share Juror No. 30's concerns. I do feel I can
17
    set those aside and consider the facts of this case.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. Do any of you have any
19
    relationship with or particular knowledge about GEO, other
20
    than what you have heard in court today?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: I have read quite a bit about
22
23
    not GEO, but ICE and the school-to-prison pipeline as it is
    so usually known as.
24
             THE COURT: Do any of you have knowledge about or
25
```

```
relationship with the State Department of Labor & Industries
 1
 2
    or the Attorney General's Office?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: No, sir.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25:
                                    No.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Do any of you have strong feelings about
    ICE or GEO that would cause you to start into the case
 6
    favoring or disfavoring one side?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21:
                                    No, sir.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25:
                                    No.
10
             THE COURT: We touched on that subject a little bit
              Do any of you have strong feelings about minimum
11
    wage laws in general that might cause you to start into the
12
    case favoring or disfavoring one side or the other?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18:
14
                                   Yes.
15
             THE COURT: Who answered "yes"? Mr. Knight?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Yes, I do. My concern is that
16
17
    if we got incarcerated individuals and they want to get paid
    the same wage or more than individuals who have not done
18
    anything to get placed in this institution, we are already
19
    paying for them to be there, and as a taxpayer, now they want
20
21
    to get paid even more. It is just ridiculous.
22
    getting free room and board and health care and all that
    other stuff already. And now they -- you are -- it sends me
23
    sideways.
24
             THE COURT: As with some of the other comments, I am
25
```

```
afraid you are assuming facts that are not yet in evidence
 1
 2
    that may or may not be accurate.
        Further comment, Mr. Dazey, on this matter? You are
 3
    muted. You are muted, Mr. Dazey. I don't know, we can't
 4
 5
    hear you unless you unmute.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: I apologize, Your Honor. I am
 6
 7
    in the same camp as his comments. That's about all I can
    say.
             THE COURT: Any other comments on the last question?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26:
                                    No, sir.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: Would you mind repeating that
11
    last question?
12
             THE COURT: I am not sure I can. I think it was
13
    about any strong feelings about ICE or the GEO Group or, for
14
15
    that matter, the State of Washington, that might cause any of
    you to have strong feelings starting into the case that might
16
17
    cause you to favor one side or the other.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: I would have to answer yes.
18
             THE COURT: And what are your feelings,
19
20
    Mr. MacRobert, that you refer to?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: Well, I think I indicated that
21
22
    I have over the last four or five years done some research on
    ICE. Not specifically about them, but just about the whole
23
    immigration issue. I am very political. In my research, I
24
25
    have read a lot of things that I find very disturbing. I am
```

```
also --
 1
             THE COURT: Let me ask you -- excuse me,
 2
 3
    Mr. MacRobert. I don't want to ask you what you might have
    learned in your research. Do you think starting into this
 4
    case you may have strong feelings one way or the other?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 30: Probably, yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: I think I should excuse you. You may be
    excused. Thank you, Mr. MacRobert. I appreciate you
 8
    participating in this process.
        Do any of you have any feelings about anything we have
10
    discussed that would make it difficult for you to be fair or
11
    impartial in this trial?
12
        I asked about minimum wage generally. Mr. Knight
13
    responded. Do any of the others have strong feelings that
14
15
    might cause you to favor one side or the other in this case
    on minimum wage?
16
17
             MR. WHITEHEAD: This is Mr. Whitehead from the
    private plaintiffs. Juror 28 had raised his hand to your
18
    last question.
19
             THE COURT: Mr. Dazey?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: Yes, I feel pretty -- I can't
21
    think of the words right now, but not unlike the last
22
    gentleman. I do a lot of reading. I don't know. I am not
23
    very happy the way they are working it.
24
             THE COURT: Do you think from your reading and what
```

```
you may know about that you might find it difficult to be
 1
 2
    fair and impartial in this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: I am pretty strong headed on
 3
    things like that, so, yes.
 4
             THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. Well, I will excuse you as
 5
    well, Mr. Dazey. Thank you very much. You can check out.
 6
 7
    Thank you.
        Anyone else have a comment about minimum wage laws
    generally?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
             THE COURT: Mr. Groves.
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: I feel the minimum wage is out
12
    of control right now. If we are doing minimum wage for
13
    everybody, no one is going to be able to afford to live in
14
15
    the near future.
             THE COURT: Do you think your feeling in that regard
16
17
    would affect the -- your jury service in this case? That you
    might start into the trial favoring or disfavoring one side
18
    or the other?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: I am not 100 percent sure.
20
    No, sir.
21
22
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear everything you
23
    said.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: I said I am not 100 percent
24
    sure that I would be unbiased.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Well, I think we'll come back to that.
 1
 2
        Do any of you have strong feelings about privately run
    detention centers generally that might cause you to start
 3
    into the case with some bias or prejudice, one side or the
 4
 5
    other?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 32:
 6
                                    No.
 7
             THE COURT: Do any of you have strong feelings about
    class actions generally that might cause you to start into
 8
    the case with bias or prejudice one way or the other?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, sir.
10
             THE COURT: Is there anything about this case that
11
    might cause any of you to start into the trial with feelings
12
    or concerns that would favor one side or the other?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26:
14
                                    No, sir.
15
             THE COURT: Any reason you might not be able to try
    this case impartially?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, sir.
             THE COURT: Anything we have not discussed that you
18
    think you should bring up at this point?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
20
             THE COURT: We will go to questions from counsel.
21
22
    Please give your attention first to Mr. Whitehead. Is that
23
    correct, Mr. Whitehead?
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes, Your Honor. That's right.
24
        Thank you. I started to say good morning, but that's
25
```

wrong. It is good afternoon. I am Jamal Whitehead. I am one of the attorneys for the private plaintiffs.

My guess is if you are anything like me and you watch TV and the law shows, you may be thinking that this is the part of the trial where the lawyers ask trick questions trying to psychoanalyze you to figure out who is good for their case. I don't like to do it that way. Really, what I want is to have a conversation with you. I want to know who wants to be here, who wants to serve on the jury. I want to know who can do that with an objective mindset. I can't give you specific details about the case. I would love to. I can't talk about the evidence. I will get in trouble. What we can talk about are some of the principles that are at play here.

I will ask questions to get the ball rolling. I am not trying to pry or to embarrass you, but, you know, my goal is to just have a conversation. I want you to be brutally honest both with me and yourself about whether or not you can sit and listen to the evidence here with an objective mindset. Doesn't mean there is anything wrong with you if you can't. We all come to this life with experiences and strong notions on some issues. You know, in talking --

THE COURT: Let's get to a question, Mr. Whitehead.

MR. WHITEHEAD: All right. Let's do that. I said brutal honesty, I am going to start. If I am being brutally honest with you, I am concerned that I represent people that

are or were in an immigration detention facility. Everyone in this case will tell you they are not held there as punishment. I am concerned some people will hold their immigration status against them nevertheless. My question to you is this: What trouble would you have, if even a little bit, deciding a case about wages for people held inside an immigration detention facility? Anybody want to get the ball rolling? Some brave soul?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: I don't have any problem looking at that question. I am not sure I understand fully what you mean. I don't have any problem looking at what the State of Washington requires, what (inaudible), and the contract they have with the private organization. It seems like there is a lot of pieces moving in this case.

MR. WHITEHEAD: There are. I can't wait to tell you all about them. I do want to hear from everyone. So thank you very much for sharing. I appreciate it. I am just going to work across my screen.

Mr. Knight, Juror No. 18, tell me about it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: As I mentioned earlier, I have a real concern. We are providing health care, room and board. And if these people are being held there for a reason, they supposedly have a debt to society and now they want more money, and who is going to end up paying that? It is going to end up ultimately being the taxpayers, because

the taxpayers just contracted with a private organization to provide these services. It is just going to increase our costs. I guess if a person wants to work for a fair wage, they shouldn't do things that would get them in a position to be placed in a facility like this.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing. I truly appreciate it. We are trying to have a conversation. So let me ask you: In terms of what you have just expressed to me, right, what I am trying to figure out is whether or not the plaintiffs in this case will get a fair shake. I know you will listen to the evidence. I am not going to ask you that question. But my question is, as you sit here at neutral, not having heard the evidence or looked at the documents or looked at any of the testimony, are you leaning ever so slightly against immigrants proving their claims in a case like this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Again, these are people that my understanding is they have been caught trying to enter the country illegally. They weren't like a former employee of mine who went through the work visa program, legally came here to the United States, went through all the paperwork and all this and that and went through the process like I think some of the other folks in this group have described. My former employee didn't end up in a detention center. He did things right. You people running the border, it is just --

we seem to be letting more and more of them in nowadays with the change in administration. It is just -- yeah, it just kind of makes me really upset to even talk about this subject. More could be done to prevent people from coming into our country that is not being done the last several months.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you. You are 100 percent entitled to your beliefs. No one can tell you to set aside your beliefs, not even the judge, frankly. My question is whether or not the folks that have claims in this case, if you come into this because of your own experience disfavoring their claims.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: You know, I guess it is the type of thing where it's something I don't want to be involved in. There is people -- if there is people who want to do jury service, then they need to go for it. Myself, you know, especially a matter like this, it is just going to be totally frustrating the whole time to sit here for weeks on end and listen to stuff that, you know, ultimately in the end, it is likely going to cost us taxpayers a ton more money either through the legal process or if there is an award for wages in that process, because it ultimately falls on the taxpayers, and our national debt is just spiralling out of control. This will only add to it.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you. I appreciate you sharing.

```
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Knight.
 1
 2
             MR. WHITEHEAD: I'm sorry, was there a question,
    Your Honor?
 3
             THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
 4
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Just by show of hands, who else has a
 5
    similar view to Mr. Knight? If you could keep them up, I am
 6
 7
    going to call out the numbers, Juror 24, Mr. Pereira; Juror
    No. 25, Ms. Adkison.
        Thank you.
        Let's see, Mr. Pereira, tell us about it. What are your
10
    thoughts?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I echo much of what Juror
12
    No. 18 spoke about. I haven't heard all the evidence. I
13
    don't want to speak without hearing the evidence. As I have
14
15
    stated before, I was married to a lady who came from Europe.
    Did the naturalization and the resident process legally. To
16
17
    me, I believe we do afford those opportunities to everybody
    who comes through legally.
18
             MR. WHITEHEAD: So with your prior personal
19
20
    experience and your wife coming through through proper
    channels, do you think you would hold it against folks, maybe
21
22
    even just a little bit, that came to the United States
23
    without prior approval? Or didn't go through those official
    channels?
2.4
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: There must be a reason why

they are in the detention center. Again, I am speaking out of turn if I didn't hear all the evidence.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Last question: As you sit here, would you be able to approach the evidence with an objective mindset or do you feel like maybe you would be leaning, even if it is just a little bit, against people that didn't come through the proper channels?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I am pretty objective.

MR. WHITEHEAD: I am running short on time here.

Ms. Adkison?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: I'm pretty much the same way as Mr. Knight. I can be objective, too. I can listen to the evidence, and I do have a strong opinion about illegal aliens coming into this country not through the proper channels.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing. Juror No. 19, we haven't heard from you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I have to unmute. Sorry. I have had experiences on both sides. From the enforcement side working with ICE, I stopped a driver that was here getting paid cash to work in the United States illegally. I provided the documentation to take action against him, along with his boss and -- his boss. Also, I was living in north Portland and our church community there was part of the Dole plant that was attacked many years ago and many people deported, and they were making less than minimum wage. I am

on both sides of it. I don't know.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing. Let's see. I

am going to go across the top row on my screen.

Juror No. 21, Mr. Groves is it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Yes, Mr. Groves. I just have concerns about someone being in detention and getting minimum wage. You are being provided for as it is, if I understand correctly. They are getting a pittance for what they are doing. Maybe "pittance" is not the correct word. Getting something for what they are doing. I am not sure minimum wage is the correct way to go.

MR. WHITEHEAD: As you think about your experiences and your beliefs, do you think you would have trouble deciding a case about wages for immigrants held inside an immigration detention facility.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: I don't have anything against the immigrants. Anybody that is in a detention center per se being paid minimum wage.

MR. WHITEHEAD: It's not so much the immigrants, just the idea of minimum wage?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Correct.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Tell me more about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: If I recall, minimum wage was started to help youngsters get started in the world. It wasn't created to be a sustaining wage for -- to maintain a

lifestyle.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Okay. Let's see. Juror 22, I don't think we have heard from you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: I really don't have an opinion on wages and immigration for being minimum wage. I don't know what the laws are. I know prisoners in actual prison cells don't make minimum wage. I don't know if that would apply to the detention center or not. I would have to hear the testimony and what it would be about.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you for sharing. Juror No. 23, Mr. Gordon.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: I echo Juror No. 22's feelings about this. My main question is: What is the law? We are not going to try and change the law. We need to know the law. I am not sure it will be part of the evidentiary process we go through. In terms of a bias either against a corporation or against individual immigrants, again, I can set those feelings aside.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you, sir.

We have heard from Juror Nos. 24 and 25. Juror No. 26, Mr. Minor, tell us, what are your thoughts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Well, I feel that America was born on immigrants and the great melting pot. I'm saying, so why -- okay, say you come from a country, say you might be less than, know what I mean, but I'm saying so why don't you

give this person a chance? They might enhance the country coming into the country. I am saying not because all you are immigrants you are going to come here and do something bad. I don't see it that way. I'm saying how are you going to form opinion and you haven't even given this person a chance yet?

MR. WHITEHEAD: All right. Thank you for sharing. I appreciate that.

Juror 27, Ms. Van Well. Hi.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: I guess I do have the -- what the judge told us about earlier about unconscious biases. Ι can see both sides of it. I think immigration is very necessary. Like the last gentleman said, our country was built on it. But I also think that paying detainees minimum wage is not the way to go. I think -- I don't know the reason that they were there in the first place. I think I would have to hear the evidence before making up my mind. My heart says one thing, but it is not the truth or the evidence. It is not hard, you know what I mean? I want to listen to what others have to say. I think that we do need to make some reform in immigration. I don't know the answer to that. I mean, nobody in this government seems to know the answer on how to fix it. It needs to be fixed. But we do need -- we need our immigrants. We need our workers, and they do jobs that others do not want to do. That is part of

```
evolution. Every country has its immigrant workforce.
 1
 2
    think we should treat people fairly. I don't think the
 3
    minimum wage is the answer.
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you. Let's see. I don't know
 4
    how much time I have left.
 5
             THE COURT: You have zero time. You went over a
 6
 7
    minute.
             MR. WHITEHEAD: I said, "I don't know how much time."
    I think I should have said, "I suspect I don't have any time
 9
    left." I will end there. Thank you for your time.
10
                                                         Ι
    appreciate it.
11
             THE COURT: Who is next? Ms. Chien?
12
             MS. CHIEN: Hi.
                              My name is Marsha Chien.
13
                                                        Ι
    represent the State of Washington. Separate from
14
15
    Mr. Whitehead who represents the detainee workers, I
    represent the State of Washington, and I work for the
16
17
    Attorney General's Office.
        You may have heard of the Attorney General, Bob Ferguson.
18
    I would like to ask how many people have heard of a lawsuit
19
    that Bob Ferguson has brought against individuals and
20
    businesses to protect Washington residents. If you could
21
22
    raise your hand, that would be helpful to me. 18, 17, 26,
23
    23.
        I would like to start with Juror No. 17. Can you tell me
24
    about the lawsuits and what you heard about them?
25
```

MS. CHIEN: Juror No. 18, you also raised your hand.

Have you -- what did you think about the lawsuits that you have heard of that Bob Ferguson has brought?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: There is a reason he's known as Bobby Sue. Seems like he spent a lot of time trying to chase President Trump around for his own exposure towards a gubernatorial run after Inslee's term is done. I guess I don't have any respect for the Attorney General. I think he's just a political animal that happens to have obtained election as a democrat in a state that is really blue to a position where he can just go file lawsuits and not really focus on the people of the state of Washington, but a matter of trying to get his name recognition, and anything that the president tried to do, he was there filing lawsuits. It was just an incredible waste of our resources and our tax dollars funding his activities for his own personal gain.

MS. CHIEN: If I told you this lawsuit did not have anything to do with the Trump Administration, would that

change your views?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: No. I don't have any respect for Bob Ferguson for what he's done, the track record he's created. President Trump did tremendous things to bring our country forward. He was just -- for political reasons was just trying to stand in the way.

MS. CHIEN: Would you have a difficult time being fair and impartial if I told you that one of the parties was represented by the Attorney General?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Nothing against you. I am sure there is a lot of very competent attorneys in the office. But just the man at the top is, you know -- I mean, there is just interviews he won't do with the media because he doesn't want to respond to the tough questions in the media, even though he has been asked many times. I just don't have any respect. I was hoping he was going to get defeated. There is too many democrats who vote by the letter behind the person's name on the ballot.

MS. CHIEN: Would the Attorney General's Office have an uphill battle with you in terms of proving its case given your beliefs on Mr. Ferguson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Yeah, the credibility coming from his side, I just think we need somebody who is not a politically-based person with a politically-based organization is what he has created. I am just ashamed. I

```
understand Juror 17 is proud to be a Washington resident.
 1
                                                                Ι
 2
    know a lot of people moving out of the state of Washington
 3
    because they feel the same way I do. They are ashamed.
                                                              When
    I travel I said, yeah, I'm from Seattle. People know where
 4
 5
    they were --
             THE COURT: I think you have made your point,
 6
    Mr. Knight.
 7
             MS. CHIEN: Thank you. Does anyone else agree with
 8
    what Mr. Knight is saying? If you could raise your hand, I
 9
10
    would appreciate it.
        So --
11
             THE COURT: Mr. Laws, did you have your hand up? I
12
    can't see.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: No, my arm is stretching.
14
15
             THE COURT:
                         Okav.
             MS. CHIEN: Has anyone else heard about the Attorney
16
17
    General's Office bringing a lawsuit also against the Trump
    Administration? Mr. Gordon, Mr. Dye.
18
        I would like to ask -- we haven't heard from Juror No. 31,
19
20
    Mr. Dye yet. I would like to hear what your opinions are of
    the lawsuits against the Trump Administration.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 31: It is hard for me to define
22
    what bearing that would have on this case. I really don't
23
    have enough information to judge how the big-picture issues
24
25
    that we are talking about would bear on this because I have a
```

```
feeling it is going to boil down to a bunch of more mundane
 1
 2
    law like contract law, minimum wage law and other things that
    underlie business relationships among these entities. If you
 3
    want me to, I can tell you how I feel about the Attorney
 4
    General's suits filed over the Trump Administration actions,
 5
    but I am not sure it applies here.
 6
 7
             MS. CHIEN: Thank you. Appreciate that.
        Mr. Gordon, did you have an opinion about the lawsuits
 8
    brought by the Attorney General's Office against the Trump
 9
    Administration?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Earlier I was going to say I
11
    was embarrassed, although I have a generally positive tone
12
    about the Attorney General's lawsuits he brought outside the
13
    Trump Administration, but I can't remember specifically what
14
15
    those were. I just have a general positive tone around that.
16
```

Regarding the Trump Administration, I have a positive view of the tribunal for actions from that. I don't anticipate that having any bearing on my ability to consider evidence in this case.

MS. CHIEN: Thank you.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: I believe it looks like we lost Juror No. 29. Juror 29, Mr. Laws?

MS. SCHEFFEY: Yeah. While we're taking a break, I just wanted to say that Juror 17, sometimes I have trouble hearing you, if you could talk into the camera, that would be

```
helpful.
 1
 2
             THE CLERK: It looks like Juror 29 is able to sign
    back into Zoom. Hopefully he'll be able to get his camera
 3
    back on. There we go.
 4
             THE COURT: Here he is. We lost you for a minute,
 5
    Mr. Laws.
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: My computer died.
             MS. CHIEN: Should I continue?
 8
             THE COURT: Yes, please, go ahead.
             MS. CHIEN: I want to sort of circle back to
10
    something that Mr. Whitehead started. If I told you all that
11
    one of the parties of this lawsuit were undocumented workers,
12
    meaning they did not have permission to work, would that
13
    change anybody's views as to whether or not they could be an
14
15
    impartial juror on this panel?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, ma'am.
16
17
             MS. CHIEN: Okay. Going back to the Attorney
    General's Office, who has heard of lawsuits the Attorney
18
    General's Office has brought separate from the Trump
19
    Administration? Sounds like some of you think there might be
20
           Has anyone heard of actual lawsuits that the Attorney
21
22
    General's Office has brought?
23
        Who thinks the role of the Attorney General's Office is to
    sue private businesses or individuals when it's to protect
24
    Washington residents? Can you raise your hand?
25
```

```
Call on Juror No. 24. Can I ask you to tell me about your
 1
 2
    opinions of the Attorney General's Office suing private
    businesses and individuals?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I think it is their role to
 4
 5
    protect Washington citizens. Whether we agree with it or
    not, he's our elected official.
 6
 7
             MS. CHIEN: Does anyone disagree with what
    Mr. Pereira says? I hope I pronounced that correctly. It is
 8
    the Attorney General's role to enforce our laws?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yes.
10
             MS. CHIEN: Does anyone think the Attorney General's
11
    Office sues too often or too many times, shouldn't sue as
12
    much as they have been suing?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26:
14
                                    No.
15
             MS. CHIEN: Does anyone else have any other concerns?
    Okay.
16
17
        I hope I have a minute or two, and ask of the jurors what
    the purpose of a private company is. We have spoken about
18
    the government, but the other entity in this case is a
19
20
    private company. I am curious to ask you all what the
    purpose of a private company is. Maybe I can start with
21
    Juror No. 19.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: Just simply a company to
23
    manage what might have been done by a government agency at
24
```

one point in time. They contract it out to a private company

```
to do the same job.
 1
 2
             MS. CHIEN: Just sticking with that, actually. Does
 3
    the contract change the entity -- when a private company
    contracts with the government, does that change the status of
 4
 5
    that company in your opinion?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: It could. Just depends on the
 6
 7
    circumstances.
             MS. CHIEN: Juror No. 20, I haven't heard from you in
    a little while. Do you have anything to weigh in on the
 9
    Attorney General's Office or the lawsuits the Attorney
10
    General's Office may have brought?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: No, that's part of their job.
12
    They are looking out for us and making sure everybody is
13
    following the laws. Nothing bad to say.
14
15
             MS. CHIEN: I am going to turn to Juror 21, also
    going across my screen, and ask, you have expressed some
16
17
    opinions about the minimum wage laws. I am wondering if you
    have any concern about the State of Washington enforcing our
18
    minimum wage laws?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Not about enforcing the
20
    minimum wage. I think the minimum wage is too high.
21
22
             MS. CHIEN: If you think the minimum wage -- if
23
    somebody told you that the minimum wage is too high, but that
    is the law, would you be willing to accept it?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: I would accept it, even though
25
```

```
I disagree with it.
 1
 2
             MS. CHIEN:
                         Okay.
 3
        Your Honor, I am not sure of my time. Maybe I shouldn't
    ask the question.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Thank you.
        Ms. Mell.
 6
 7
             MS. MELL: Mic on. Can you hear me now?
        Mr. Minor, I wanted to ask you, do you have kids?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, ma'am, I don't.
 9
10
             MS. MELL: Did you grow up having to do chores in
    your own house?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yes, ma'am, I sure did.
12
             MS. MELL: How were the rules in your household about
13
    compensating you for doing the chores around the house?
14
                                                              Was
15
    there any parent or whoever you were answering to about
    chores, did they pay you to do them?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, ma'am, they didn't pay
    anything. I had my mom and my dad, and we just did the
18
    chores. It was no paying. Like I said, I grew up in
19
    Alabama. I'm saying we did the chores, we worked on the farm
20
    and stuff like that.
2.1
22
             MS. MELL: What kind of farm? What kind of animals?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: We did pigs, chickens, cows,
23
    horses, grew watermelon, corn, stuff like that.
24
25
             MS. MELL: On the farm, did that mean chores happened
```

```
at five in the morning?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yes, ma'am, then we go to
 3
    school.
             MS. MELL: And then you did the chores again, right?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yes, ma'am.
 5
             MS. MELL: Who thinks that doing chores around the
 6
 7
    house, that your kids or you should have earned minimum wage
    doing the chores around the house?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26:
                                    No one.
10
             MS. MELL: Mr. Gordon, how about you? At what point
    is work paid work, minimum wage work? Chores? Something
11
    else?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Well, it is whatever the law
13
    says is the floor for that. What seems to be, you are
14
15
    putting in -- if you make the contract with an employer to be
    paid a certain amount, then you should be paid that amount.
16
17
    If the contract is out of compliance with the law, it should
    be changed, should be amended to reflect the law.
18
        I worked in the strawberry fields from the age of nine or
19
20
    ten where I lived in Southwest Washington. The minimum wage
    was very low. We were paid a fee rate. We agreed to that,
21
22
    or our parents agreed to it. That is another example, I
23
    guess.
             MS. MELL: Did you know that in your status as a farm
24
    worker you were exempt from the Minimum Wage Act?
25
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: At the time that I was -- I am 1 2 not even sure the Minimum Wage Act covered farm workers back in the 1960s. I don't know. 3 MS. MELL: So with regard to issues where you can 4 5 converge, issues on immigration and work, do you think that your work status, or the government's granting you work 6 status should affect whether or not you get paid minimum 7 wage? 23, I am still on you. I am still picking on you, 9 Mr. Gordon. I'm sorry. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: I -- again, there is the law, which we follow, and then there may be what is morally right 11 or what is compassionate to that group of people. They may 12 be the same or they may be different. I prefer to be 13 compassionate and help people meet their needs to help their 14 15 family. The bottom line is, what does the law say? THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Mell. I think the last 16 17 question really asks the jurors to say what the law is or what he thought the law was. That is on the list of 18 do-not-ask questions. 19 MS. MELL: I apologize, Your Honor. I will change 20 21 course accordingly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Don't ask and I won't tell. 22 MS. MELL: I don't know how that law worked out for 23 Actually, that is a good question. What do people 24 folks. think about laws that are unfair? Do people think that 25

notice is important to fair laws, notice to the person who 1 2 the law affects? No. 22, Mr. Swessel? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: Swessel, yeah. What was the question again? You want to inform the person of the laws 4 5 before they --MS. MELL: Yeah. Do you think in order for 6 7 government to fairly enforce its laws, it has to give notice to the people who the law is intended to affect? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: Well, there is an awful lot of laws that we have, and I am not aware of all of them myself. 10 I think it would be very difficult to inform everyone of all 11 the laws. I don't know how to really answer that. I don't 12 know what vehicle we would have to say, okay, here is all the 13 14 laws, now I want you to read through them and make sure you 15 understand them all. MS. MELL: Have you any experience with government 16 17 contracting and what government contractors are required to attest to or say or promise when they enter into a government 18 19 contract? Do you know? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: For, like, in my position in 20 the past, I had to work for the government. I had to abide 21 22 by whatever they told me I had to do. That was part of the

MS. MELL: Did you rely on, I don't know who, the contract enforcement officer to tell you what you were

company's contract with them, if that's what you mean.

23

24

```
supposed to be doing so you didn't run afoul of following the
 1
 2
    law?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: I was provided documentation
 3
    that I had to read through and sign saying I understood all
 4
    the rules. I read through it and signed it. I knew what I
 5
    was doing with that, with the government, what I could and
 6
    could not do.
 7
             MS. MELL: With regard to immigration issues, do you
 8
    spend much time reading about immigration?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: I don't read about it. I hear
10
    a lot of it in the news when I watch the local news or CNN,
11
    or whatever, if I am flipping through it. I don't actively
12
    seek it out.
13
             MS. MELL: You are not doing any work around
14
15
    immigration by way of advocacy or volunteer time?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22:
                                    No.
16
17
             MS. MELL: Mr. Groves, No. 21, you have expressed you
    had opinions. Kudos to you. I happen to be a very
18
    opinionated woman. One of the things I did notice about you,
19
20
    however, is you worked in the U.S. Coast Guard. Do I have
    that right?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: That is correct.
22
             MS. MELL: In your capacity working for the U.S.
23
    Coast Guard, did you have to follow rules you didn't think
24
    made any sense?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Absolutely.
 1
 2
             MS. MELL: Did you do them and do them with a smile
 3
    on your face because that was your job?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Probably 90 percent of the
 4
 5
    time.
             MS. MELL: The Court is going to instruct you on the
 6
 7
    law, would you agree you would follow the law, like it or
 8
    not?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Yes.
             MS. MELL: Mr. Smith, I know you like bowling. Are
10
    you a Tacoma bowler? What is your bowling alley of choice?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Narrow's Plaza Bowl in UP.
12
             MS. MELL: Narrow's Plaza Bowl in UP. Is Rob Nay
13
     (phonetic) someone you know?
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Doesn't ring a bell.
             MS. MELL: How about Ed Troyer?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: No. Sorry.
                                                 Nope.
             MS. MELL: He is over at the Chalet Bowl.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Chalet, yep. Old one in
19
    Tacoma.
20
21
             MS. MELL: Do you get -- if you are not bowling,
22
    where do you spend your time and energy finding out what is
23
    going on in the world, South Sound world?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: A lot of the news, office chat
24
    around the office. I get a lot of news from my co-workers,
25
```

```
different perspectives. My wife and friends. We have our
 1
 2
    circle. I get information from all the different sources
 3
    that I can.
             MS. MELL: Do you work downtown engineering?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Actually, our company is in
 5
    Fife, not far from Tacoma. Just across the Tideflats.
 6
 7
             MS. MELL: You are in the Tideflat area. Do you know
 8
    about GEO? Do you see the GEO trucks coming and going?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20:
                                    No.
10
             MS. MELL: Never heard about it?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20:
11
                                    No.
             MS. MELL: Don't have strong feelings --
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Now I am probably going to be
13
    looking. I don't know.
14
15
             MS. MELL: Let me think. I am trying to get to know
    all you guys. This is a whole new process for us. I have to
16
17
    get used to finding people and who I am connecting with. So
    it's a little bit -- my eyes are darting around, not because
18
    I am not trying to talk to you directly. I don't know where
19
    to look.
20
        Ms. Burton, can you talk to me a little bit about any
21
22
    advocacy you have done on immigration issues?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: I have done none.
             MS. MELL: Do you follow any of the activities down
24
    in Olympia? Legislative stuff?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17:
                                    Some. Little bit.
                                                        Mostly on
 1
 2
    state park stuff. Not so much on anything else.
             MS. MELL: How about the issue that was discussed and
 3
    talked about this legislative session, shutting down the
 4
    detention center in the Tideflats? Anybody get involved in
 5
    or hear about the legislature trying to ban private detention
 6
    centers?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: Is that still a question for
    me or for anybody?
             MS. MELL: You are up. Did you hear about it?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17:
11
                                    No.
             MS. MELL: I better ask somebody else.
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: There you go.
13
             MS. MELL: Anybody else get involved in that issue
14
15
    this legislative cycle or hear it on the news?
        18, I am not going to call on you because I think I have
16
17
    an idea where you might be. Maybe not on that issue, I don't
           Although I would ask, Mr. Knight, if you were given
18
    instructions on the law, do you feel strongly that you could
19
    follow the law as instructed?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Yes, we all need to do that,
21
22
    like it or not.
             MS. MELL: Even though you voiced some very strong
23
    opinions here, you would follow the law as instructed by the
24
    Court?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18:
                                    Instructed, but I am not sure
 1
 2
    I am the best to understand it all.
             MS. MELL: Okay. All right. Mr. Gordon, I think you
 3
    have expressed an opposite opinion of Mr. Knight, is that
 4
    fair to say, in terms of issues of privatization and Bob
 5
    Ferguson and his lawsuits, these kind of things?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18: Right now, Mr. Knight is just
 7
    above me in the gallery, so I will wave. That is probably
    fair to say. I am not currently involved in immigration
10
    advocacy. Some years ago our church sponsored a sanctuary
    family and worked with other churches in meeting the family's
11
            In the past, I have been an advocate for specific
12
    immigrants and for changing immigration policy.
13
                        Do you, like Mr. Knight, feel you would
14
             MS. MELL:
15
    not be the best juror because of your sense of feeling the
    need to support immigrants and change policy if you had that
16
17
    opportunity?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 18:
                                    No. I feel as Mr. Knight does
18
    also, that when -- we need to follow the law. The law can be
19
    changed and it should be changed, but it should be changed
20
    through the proper channel, not through an improper one.
21
22
             MS. MELL: Has anyone on this panel actually
23
    testified before the legislature before? Mr. Dye, what was
    your issue?
24
             THE COURT: You are muted.
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 31: Sorry, Judge. Thank you for
 1
 2
    the reminder.
        It was a conservation funding issue. I spoke on behalf of
 3
    a legislative initiative to fund a conservation action.
 4
 5
    was working for a non-profit conservation organization at the
    time.
 6
 7
             MS. MELL: So was that -- conservation is sometimes
    an issue that deals with government regulation versus private
    interests and special interests. Where were you on those
    issues?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 31: I worked for a non-profit
11
    organization that was very much oriented towards
12
    collaboration with business entities and property owners.
13
                                                                So
    working for that particular organization and personally, my
14
15
    own personal belief is that there is a lot of good to be
    gained through collaboration on those issues, and that if
16
17
    outright government regulation can be avoided, it often
    generates positive results.
18
             MS. MELL: Have you found that there are better means
19
20
    to an end than a lawsuit or strict legislative controls?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 31: Yes, there can be.
21
22
             MS. MELL: How do you facilitate that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 31: In my mind, there is a window
23
    of opportunity in time, often when non-regulatory approaches
24
    might be considered. Once an issue is identified as a
25
```

```
regulatory issue and there is a competent government authority and there are a number of players that are acting outside the bounds of the social contract on conservation issues, when government moves toward regulation, certain other opportunities might disappear that could have been pursued in the private sector. That's all. We don't do this by design very well in this country. It is a chaotic and free market, plus governmental arena, very complicated space. I don't think it applies here much, although immigration is a similarly complicated space. I don't pretend to understand it.

MS. MELL: Do you think immigration is a pretty
```

MS. MELL: Do you think immigration is a pretty strongly political issue right now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 31: I think that is obvious. I am not sure whether any of those high level political issues apply to this case.

MS. MELL: Have you been involved in any observing or participated in or been aware of state litigation by the Attorney General's Office in tracking where those dollars go and why, and whether or not they really do go to benefit the public?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 31: I have not. That is a specific issue in the state that I have not tracked.

MS. MELL: Mr. Laws, I want to ask you this one question: You had mentioned at the beginning of this case

```
that you cannot, given the fact you have probably not gotten
1
 2
    any sleep, feel like you can give this case your full
 3
    attention. Have I caught you sleeping today perhaps? Are
    you kind of in and out or are you good?
 4
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: I am going to be honest. You
    have.
 6
 7
             MS. MELL: All right. I just wanted to
            I didn't want to make that assumption. Thank you for
8
    being honest with me about that.
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: I am -- I am keeping my eyes
11
    open.
             MS. MELL: I know you have. I know you are trying
12
           I see you coming back around, but I just wanted to
13
    make sure.
14
15
        Mr. Pereira, what can you tell me about your thoughts on
    unpaid work? Any ideas on what work should be paid and what
16
17
    can be unpaid? Do you do any charitable work?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I do lots of charitable work.
18
    I run my own non-profit in Clark County. I will go back to
19
20
    what somebody said. Once you have agreed to work for pay,
21
    then there is a contract that needs to be upheld. I often
22
    think about, you know, if we are paying somebody minimum
23
    wage, who are we not paying at that point for that same work
```

that should be provided to somebody else.

24

is your subject area?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: It's called Youth Efforts

Against Hunger. YEAH is our acronym. We collect donations

from wealthy donors and then we pay for our youth to raise

animals and agriculture. It is an agriculture education

program. We take those animals and process them, pay for the

processing and donate it all to the Clark County Food Bank.

MS. MELL: So it's got more of a meeting the needs of the people in the community by way of providing a food source for them, which is kind of different than 4H, but sounds like 4H.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: We partner with educational programs like 4H and FFA. So we support agriculture education as well as helping the needy within the area.

MS. MELL: Do you have any control over how they prepare your products for service and consumption?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: About our youth, they are well educated. There is quality control on the processing of the animals. We have to have them processed by a state-certified facility so that it is available for human consumption through the food bank.

MS. MELL: Do those kids get paid?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: They do not. That's why we use our philanthropic opportunities to help them. They can't do it on their own. They don't get paid. We pay nothing

```
more than the expenses they incur.
 1
 2
             MS. MELL:
                        Do you have a lot of volunteers in that
 3
    organization? Is that what it depends on?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: All volunteer work.
 4
 5
             MS. MELL: Is that something that you do in addition
    to paid work?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: Yes. I work for Portland.
 8
    Oregon, the public transit system. I run their MAX Transit.
 9
             MS. MELL: What kind of shifts do you end up working?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: In my regular work -- through
10
    the pandemic, seems like 24/7. Right now, I am working from
11
    home a lot of times. But prior to the pandemic, they were
12
    12-hour shifts, three days on, four days off, four days on,
13
    three days off.
14
15
             MS. MELL: You fit in your volunteer work on the off
    days, sounds like?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: Yes.
             THE COURT: Anybody else? What are your volunteer
18
    activities, Ms. Burton? Do you do volunteer work?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: Yes, I am a master gardener.
20
    That is the garden I referred to earlier that the judge was
21
    asking if we had a reason why we didn't think we could commit
22
    to four weeks of trial is that I am the sole person who takes
23
    care of a common garden in Grays Harbor County that provides
24
    food for two food banks, McCleary and Elma, in addition to a
25
```

couple of local churches that provide midday meals for folks that don't have a lot of food. We also -- besides giving them produce, we also grow plant starts in our greenhouse. There is a lot of pieces to this work. Those food -- those plant starts go to food bank clients that want to try their hand at gardening and hopefully are able to provide a little bit more food for their own tables that way.

Master gardeners are not trying to force anybody to garden. We are there to provide the produce and a little bit of knowledge if somebody wants to pick up a plant and take it home and try to grow it.

So that's what I do. I generally put in over a thousand hours a year working in the garden. In addition to that, I am also the education director on the Master Gardener Foundation. We are just kind of gearing up training for the next set of master gardeners, so that is a hell of a lot of work in addition to that.

MS. MELL: Is it my understanding then that you will have a difficult time being away from that work, and that work has been deemed essential during COVID?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: It was deemed essential last year when the garden was closed down to volunteers except for myself because we were still growing produce. This year, I assumed it was still essential. Certainly the need for food and food banks has increased. Our communities haven't gotten

```
any richer in the last year. People have gotten more
 1
 2
    desperate. We have kind of stepped up our efforts, and in
 3
    doing so, I have got a lot of stuff going on in the
    greenhouse and in the raised beds themselves. I am the sole
 4
 5
    person that shows up six days a week to make sure that
    everything is happening and delivers food to the food bank.
 6
 7
             MS. MELL: If my yard is an example, I don't show up
 8
    any day of the week.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: Things happen.
             MS. MELL: I can see how that would be essential for
10
    your project, and it sounds like a very laudable project.
11
        That is volunteer work?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: That's volunteer work, yep.
13
             MS. MELL: All right. Let me see. Who can I pick on
14
15
    now?
          This is kind of fun. I have you all in the zone.
    do I pick? Who do I pick?
16
17
        Let's see, Ms. Van Well, tell me about your time. What do
    you do with your time? I am going to have to have you
18
19
    unmute.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: Okay. I am retired. I ran a
20
    company, my husband and I. I just -- I'm 70 years old. I do
21
22
    have an autoimmune disease. The last two years with the
23
    pandemic, I have had a lot of health issues, and my husband
    is legally deaf, so I do all the driving and that sort of
24
25
    thing. I am actually looking for some different types of
```

```
volunteer work to get into. Right now, I watch a lot of
 1
 2
    Netflix and do a lot of shopping online.
 3
             MS. MELL: Are you getting bored?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: A little bit, yeah.
 4
 5
             MS. MELL: You are actually trying to find things to
    do that you would do for free, right, just so you are not
 6
    sitting there watching Netflix another night?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: Sort of, yeah, trying to keep
    my health good, my energy up. My only concern is that I do
    get tired easily. The fact that this is virtual, it is
10
    easier for me to be able to do this. I think I am -- I have
11
    my own opinions, but I feel like I need to really understand
12
    both sides and what -- and the issues of everything.
13
14
             MS. MELL: Did I hear you right, you talked about
15
    owning your own business?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: Yeah. We sold our business to
16
17
    actually one of our largest clients in 2017. I retired in
           I stayed on. I did the sales for the company. You
18
    know, my husband and I, we used to travel a lot. You know,
19
20
    with the issue now, so...
             MS. MELL: Yeah, bummer. When you were running your
21
22
    business, did you have to grapple with the question of
23
    whether or not you employed people and put them on the
    payroll versus just hire out the work that needs to get done
24
25
    and hire an independent contractor?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: Yes. We hired people as
 1
 2
    independent contractors mostly. We did have three people in
    the office. It was a small business, like a $5 million
 3
    business. We ran it for 30 years.
 4
             MS. CHIEN: Your Honor, I think we might have lost
 5
    one of the jurors, Juror 26.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Tyler?
             THE CLERK: Let me look into it. I am trying to find
 8
    them in the Zoom meeting. I know this juror was having
 9
    problems earlier with connectivity with different devices.
10
    Might have popped up again.
11
        Looks like he's left the meeting. Maybe if we just wait a
12
    minute to see if he comes back on.
13
             I don't see him attempting to reconnect yet. I am
14
15
    not sure how much time you want to wait.
             THE COURT: It's about time for a break. Let's take
16
17
    ten minutes and call him or whatever is your alternative
    route and get him back on.
18
        Ms. Mell, you had about three or four minutes left in your
19
    time. We will continue with that after we take our break.
20
             MS. MELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22
                              (Recessed.)
             THE COURT: All set?
23
             THE CLERK: Yes, sir, I believe so. Do we have 32?
24
                         Mr. Minor, I don't know what happened, we
25
             THE COURT:
```

```
lost you for a little bit. I don't think anything happened
 1
 2
    before we realized it.
        Ms. Mell, you can finish your questions.
 3
             MS. MELL:
                        Thank you. I am going to go to Mr. Smith,
 4
 5
    change things up a little bit. Mr. Smith, we heard
    Ms. Van Well talk about the profitability of her small
 6
 7
    business at five million. What are your thoughts about
    profitability of corporations? Do you work for a company
    that you would characterize as a small business or a big
    business?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: We are still small. We are a
11
    small business, private consulting business. It is all about
12
    profitability. Some projects we make money, some projects we
13
14
    don't.
            Depends on the contract and making sure our
15
    interpretation of the contract is correct.
             MS. MELL: Have you found the business comes if you
16
17
    worry less about the bottom line and more about quality
    service delivery?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Definitely you'll get more
19
    business. At the same time, we need to be aware of what
20
    types of business are profitable and which aren't. Even if
21
22
    we get a lot of work, if we are losing money on every job,
23
    that is not necessarily a direction we want to keep going in.
             MS. MELL: Do you try to look at that from the outset
24
```

and gauge what you need to do to maintain an appropriate

profit margin and still do a good job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: Oh, yeah. Our underlying principle is that we are always trying to make sure that our clients are happy. We will bend over backwards and do what we need to do to keep them happy because that is going to bring more work in. You know, we are always looking at the bottom line, I guess.

MS. MELL: How do you address issues on -- labor issues? Are you a union shop?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: No, we are not a union shop.

We just look at what the industry pays for rates. We want to keep our employees happy, so it is all about making sure we are competitive so we can keep our employees where they are.

MS. MELL: Do you, in your work environment, use contracts for employment, like written agreements for terms of employment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: No, it's pretty much at will.

They can leave. We can let people go. I mean, there is

generally no contracts involved in what we do. There is

contracts with our client, obviously, but not with employees.

MS. MELL: Sure. Does anybody here have an issue with at-will employment? Has anybody ever grappled with that concept in their job? Anybody belong to a union?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yes.

MS. MELL: What kind of union, Mr. Minor?

-Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pacific Ave, Tacoma, WA - 253-882-3832-

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: I am with the post office.
 1
                                                                 We
 2
    have a postal union.
 3
             MS. MELL: How many years have you been with the
    postal union?
 4
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: 31 years.
             MS. MELL: Do you play an active role? Do you like
 6
    being a shop steward and taking on those responsibilities?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, but I am saying, you know,
    I am not involved as an active union member. Most of the
 9
    young kids that come in, they come to me and they ask advice
10
    and stuff like that, know what I mean?
11
             MS. MELL: You are a mentor, union or not, right?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yes.
13
             MS. MELL: What have you found with the younger
14
15
    workers coming in that they ask you the most often? What
    kind of advice do they get from you?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Well, saying, lately, you
    know, these young kids ask for -- I am saying my frustration
18
    now with these young kids coming in, they ask about the pay.
19
20
    I told them, I said you got to love what you do. If you love
    what you do, the pay is going to come. Don't worry about the
21
22
    pay. You got to love your job and treat people as human
    beings, and everything else will fall in place. That's my
23
    biggest frustration with these young kids coming in today.
24
25
             MS. MELL: Has anybody else seen that issue with
```

```
expectations, unrealistic expectations about work in their
 1
 2
    work environment? Not a big issue for anyone else?
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. I think your time has passed,
    Ms. Mell.
 4
             MS. MELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: Thank you very much. I have some
 6
    questions that I want to ask before we finish this session.
 7
        Ms. Porter, you didn't get called on here.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 32: No, sir.
10
             THE COURT: Is there anything you have heard here
    that makes you think you should tell us about it?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 32: No, I don't have strong
12
    opinions either way. I trust that I can be objective based
13
    on the facts that are presented.
14
15
             THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask a number of questions
    along this line. Juror No. 20, Mr. Smith, you have this
16
17
    bowling deal on the 24th of June. Let me ask you, what
    happens if you get stuck here and you can't go? How bad a
18
    deal is that?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: I am not sure. My wife made
20
    all the plans. I would have to check and find out if there
21
    is money we wouldn't get back. It is kind of -- it is kind
22
23
    of short-term notice for the team for them to find somebody
    to fill my spot as well. My team members might be left in a
24
```

lurch as well.

```
THE COURT: Juror No. 19, Mr. Johnson, I am not sure
 1
 2
    I understand your role in the bowling business that you
    mentioned.
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: What happens is sweepers is a
 4
 5
    function of the end-of-year bowling. Because I had the
    knowledge and abilities, I was volunteered to conduct a
 6
    sweeper. Basically what it is, people put money in to bowl
 7
    in hopes to bowl good enough to get the pot of money. I was
    the only one in the league that had an understanding or
    experience with it.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Johnson, what happens if
11
    you are stuck here on the jury and you can't deal with that?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: I am not sure. I have to
13
    refund a bunch of money.
14
15
             THE COURT: It is not your money, is it?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, it's not my money. I know
16
17
    Mr. Smith knows what I am talking about.
             THE COURT: Okay. Who said they had a Father's Day
18
    trip to Randle?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I did, Your Honor, No. 24.
20
             THE COURT: Mr. Pereira, I wrote down the wrong
21
22
    number. I couldn't remember. What happens if you miss that
23
    family event? In other words, how serious is it?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: Pretty serious. I treasure my
24
    father/daughter time, since I only get her part-time anyway.
25
```

```
This is one of the weekends I get her. By court order, I get
 1
 2
    her on that weekend. I typically plan a nice father/daughter
 3
    event, especially being Father's Day.
             THE COURT: Right. I see. Okay. Mr. Laws, what do
 4
 5
    you do in your night job?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: I am a warehouse picker. I go
 6
    around and pick alcohol and non-alcoholic drinks for retail
 7
    stores and grocery stores.
 9
             THE COURT: You had anticipated if you are asked to
10
    be on this jury that you would still have to work nights?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Can you repeat that?
11
             THE COURT: If you are stuck on this jury, would you
12
    think you still have to go to work nights?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Yeah, because I am the only
14
15
    person working in my house. I would miss out on, like, a
    group of hours.
16
17
             THE COURT: Who is number 30? Did we lose somebody?
             THE CLERK: I believe 30 was already excused.
18
             THE COURT: Yes, I didn't make a good note.
19
        Ms. Burton, what happens if you can't take care of that
20
    garden because we have you stuck on jury duty?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: Well, I think we would see a
22
    loss of season's production. Right now, we are ramping up
23
    because we are entering into the warm season crops, which are
24
    a little more highly productive. So we would be losing
25
```

```
probably the bulk of whatever we donate to the food bank.
 1
 2
             THE COURT:
                         There is nobody else you can get to pitch
    in?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: I am there six days a week for
 4
    the mornings. So who is going to fill that time? It is a
 5
    labor of love. Nobody else is going to tend to that.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. You folks can go to
 7
    your other room. Tyler will put you there. We will be back
 8
    with you in a few minutes after we discuss this whole thing.
                      (The following occurred outside the presence
10
                       of the prospective jury panel.)
11
             THE CLERK: I believe all the jurors are out of the
12
    main session now.
13
             THE COURT: All right. I like to be liberal, but it
14
    can hurt us in this deal in terms of time. I want your
15
    input, not on challenges for cause, but on whether you think
16
17
    I should excuse some of these people. No. 17, that is the
    gardener.
18
             MR. WHITEHEAD: I am certainly sympathetic to the
19
20
    imposition of jury service. I wonder whether alternative
21
    arrangements can be made to tend to the garden.
22
             THE COURT: Wait. Wait a minute.
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Am I jumping the gun? I apologize.
23
             THE COURT: Excuse or don't excuse. You are giving
24
    the judge advice now?
25
```

```
MR. WHITEHEAD: Your Honor, do not excuse.
 1
 2
             MS. CHIEN: State of Washington, do not excuse.
             MS. MELL: GEO, excuse.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. As to Juror No. 20,
 4
 5
    Mr. Smith, his bowling deal. Mr. Whitehead?
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Your Honor, we are fine with
 6
 7
    excusing. He said he would leave the team in a lurch if he
    wasn't there.
 9
             MS. CHIEN: State of Washington is also okay with
10
    excusing Juror No. 20.
             MS. MELL: GEO says excuse.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. Juror No. 19, who also is
12
    concerned about a vacation on the 11th of July and the
13
    bowling sweeper deal.
14
15
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Your Honor, I don't really have a
    feel on this one. I am not clear on the sweeper function.
16
17
    Perhaps Ms. Mell can let us know with the bowling knowledge
    she has what his role is. I don't fully understand what the
18
    hardship is for Juror 19.
19
             THE COURT: I take it as a no.
20
21
        Ms. Chien, what is your input on him?
             MS. CHIEN: We would not excuse Juror No. 19.
22
             THE COURT: Ms. Mell?
23
             MS. MELL: GEO says do not excuse.
24
25
             THE COURT: No. 24, Father's Day trip with
```

```
court-ordered visitation, he shares custody.
 1
 2
             MS. CHIEN: State of Washington would excuse Juror
    24.
 3
             MR. WHITEHEAD: As would private plaintiffs,
 4
    Your Honor.
 5
             MS. MELL: Do not excuse.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: 29, I am going to excuse. He can't stay
    awake.
 8
        No. 21, I am going to excuse out of hand. No. 18, I am
    going to excuse out of hand. There are a number of others,
10
    you can argue about them if you want to challenge them.
11
        I am going to excuse Juror No. 20, Juror No. 24, Juror
12
    No. 29 and Juror No. 21 and Juror No. 18.
13
        I am not going to excuse Juror No. 19. I will not excuse
14
15
    Juror No. 17. You can take your challenges for cause.
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes, Your Honor. We would challenge
16
17
    Juror No. 25 for cause. I think the bias she expressed is
    equal, certainly on par to what was expressed by Jurors
18
    No. 18 and 21. They all expressed an inability to be able to
19
20
    sit and judge the evidence fairly in this case. That is the
    position she has taken. I think it is more than appropriate
21
22
    to strike her for cause.
             MS. CHIEN: State of Washington would concur with
23
    that. I believe when we asked whether or not she -- when
24
25
    Juror No. 18 expressed that he would be upset about sitting
```

```
on this jury, she adamantly raised her hand saying she would
 1
 2
    agree, further suggesting her bias.
             MS. MELL: GEO's position is there is no cause basis
 3
    to excuse Juror No. 25. She was no less adamant about her
 4
 5
    position than Mr. Gordon. She attested that she would be
    fair and impartial and apply the law as given to her.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. Next challenge, Mr. Whitehead.
                                                                 Ι
 8
    will come back to rule on these.
 9
             MR. WHITEHEAD:
                             I do not believe I have any others.
10
             THE COURT: All right. Ms. Chien?
                         No others from the State.
             MS. CHIEN:
11
             THE COURT: Ms. Mell?
12
             MS. MELL: 23, Your Honor. He expressed particular
13
    sympathies towards individuals who are in financial straits
14
15
    due to their immigration status and having taken on that role
    of assisting an immigrant family through his church.
16
17
    expressions of an interest in caring for others was equal to
    Juror No. 21's expressed opinions about some of the integral
18
    issues in the case. I think for the same reasons 21 was
19
    struck, 23 has similar, if not more specific, biases he
20
21
    expressed.
22
             THE COURT: Mr. Whitehead, regarding Juror No. 23.
23
             MR. WHITEHEAD:
                             That is correct. I disagree.
    more than satisfied the inquiry as to whether or not he could
24
    maintain an objective mindset. I believe to counsel's
25
```

```
question about whether or not he would follow the judge's
 1
 2
    law, Your Honor, he answered that he would, of course, follow
 3
    any instructions issued by the Court. So we have no
 4
    concerns.
             MS. CHIEN: State of Washington also has no concerns.
 5
    The fact Mr. Gordon helped an immigrant shouldn't disqualify
 6
 7
    him. I think that might disqualify all of us sitting here as
    well.
        The challenge for Juror 25 is granted.
10
        The challenge of Juror 23 is denied.
        Let me be sure of who we have left. Let me count these up
11
    and be sure. So this group starts with 17. She is still in.
12
    19 is still in. 24 is still in.
13
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Your Honor, I believe 24 is out.
14
15
             MS. SCHEFFEY: My notes say you excused 24.
             THE COURT: How did 24 get out?
16
17
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Father's Day challenge.
             THE COURT: I ruled. I'm sorry. 24 is out. This is
18
    not the way we usually do this. I find it confusing,
19
    probably because I confuse myself. 27 is in. 23 is in.
20
                                                               Ιs
    there anyone else from this flight that is in? There is only
21
    four.
22
             MR. WHITEHEAD: 22, Your Honor. I believe he is
23
    still in. As is Mr. Minor, 26.
24
25
             THE COURT: 26 is in. What was the last lady's name?
```

```
She is still in.
 1
             MS. SCHEFFEY: 17 is Burton. Is that who you are
 2
 3
    thinking of?
             THE COURT: Somebody said something I didn't get.
 4
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Is 32 Porter? Is that who you are
 5
    thinking of?
 6
 7
             THE COURT: I can't understand what you are saying.
             THE CLERK: No. 32, Porter is the last name, and
 8
    she's still in.
10
             THE COURT: She's still in. Are we agreed on this?
    The jurors from this flight that are still in are 17, 19, 22,
11
    23, 26, 27, and 32.
12
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Is Juror 31 still in?
13
             MS. MELL: 31 is in.
14
             THE CLERK: 31 is Mr. Dye.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. He's still in. Everybody agree?
16
17
    You want to go through it again?
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: 17 is in, 19, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31 and 32.
19
             MR. WHITEHEAD: That matches our notes, Your Honor.
20
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Same here, Your Honor.
21
22
             THE COURT: All right. I think we are ready then to
    hear challenges for cause.
23
             MS. CHIEN: We did that, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: I mean peremptory challenges. Talk about
25
```

```
confusing.
 1
 2
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Your Honor, if it would be all right,
    I don't mean to delay the process any longer, given that
 3
    plaintiffs are sharing their peremptories, may we have a
 4
    brief ten-minute recess to confer?
 5
             THE COURT: That makes sense. Perhaps I should call
 6
 7
    this last group in and excuse those that are excused, and
    then we will take a break before we go to peremptories. All
    right?
 9
10
             THE CLERK: You want this last flight, the 17 through
    32 to come back?
11
             THE COURT: I was going to have the whole group so I
12
    can excuse the ones that are excused. Can you do that,
13
    Tyler?
14
15
             THE CLERK: Can I bring all the potential jurors in
    including 33 and beyond? Do you want everybody back?
16
17
             MS. CHIEN: I want to double check before we have the
    jurors back in. Juror No. 13 expressed a hardship. I was
18
    wondering if you were going to be excusing him as well before
19
    we get into the peremptories. I think he is the one that
20
21
    worked for the insurance company.
             MS. SCHEFFEY: He did IT.
22
             THE COURT: He worked for Mutual of Enumclaw. I did
23
    not anticipate excusing him.
24
25
             MS. CHIEN:
                         Thank you.
```

```
THE COURT: Tyler, it is the last flight of jurors.
 1
 2
             THE CLERK: 17 through 32?
 3
             THE COURT: Yes.
                               If you can get them in, I will
    excuse some and tell the others to stick around.
 4
 5
             THE CLERK: I will have to bring everybody in and
    then I will have to kick everybody out again, but I will
 6
 7
    leave the last flight in here.
        It is not letting Juror 33 exit the main session.
             THE COURT: Mr. Nelson, you are 33.
                         I put him in a different breakout room.
10
             THE CLERK:
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
11
             THE CLERK:
                         Did you want me to put them back in
12
    order?
13
             THE COURT:
                         If you would put them in order, I won't
14
15
    mess this up.
             THE CLERK:
                         Just a moment. What happened to 17?
16
17
             THE COURT:
                         She's right there in the middle.
                         It is trickier than it looks.
             THE CLERK:
18
                         All right. Do you think we have them?
             THE COURT:
19
20
             THE CLERK:
                         Still working on it, Your Honor. Just a
             Okay. I believe everybody is in order.
21
    moment.
22
                           (The following occurred in the presence
                            of the prospective jury panel.)
23
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Knight, you may be excused.
24
    Mr. Smith, you may be excused. Mr. Groves, you may be
25
```

Mr. Pereira, you may be excused. Ms. Adkison, you 1 2 may be excused. Mr. Laws, you may be excused. 3 The rest of you hang around and we will complete the jury selection process. We are going to take a short break before 4 5 we start that, about ten minutes or so, then we will complete the jury selection process. 6 7 And Tyler, if it is possible, you can put the remaining jurors all in one group. Okay. 9 THE CLERK: Do you want that to include Jurors 33 and 10 beyond, or do you want them separately? THE COURT: No, you can excuse all of them, 33 and 11 beyond. 12 THE CLERK: I will excuse them. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Give them a lot of thanks. We got more 14 15 than we needed, which is surprising. Okay. We will take a break, and try and make it about a quarter after. 16 17 (Recessed.) THE COURT: We have 19 jurors. The way we do it is 18 19

everybody moves up. So the last five jurors or so will not be on the jury. We are only going to chose nine. Don't waste challenges on ones that won't serve anyway, is what I was trying to say.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you want to have the eligible jurors back on the screen before you take your challenges? Or are you ready to do it by their numbers and names?

```
MS. CHIEN: We can do it by their numbers and names.
 1
 2
             MR. WHITEHEAD: I agree, Your Honor.
 3
             MS. MELL: No objection, Your Honor. Numbers and
 4
    names.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Plaintiffs first.
             MS. CHIEN: Plaintiffs would like to strike Juror
 6
    No. 5.
 7
             THE COURT: All right. Defense.
             MS. MELL:
                        Juror No. 11.
             THE COURT: Okay. Plaintiffs.
10
             MS. CHIEN:
                         Juror No. 8, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: All right. Defense.
12
             MS. MELL:
13
                        6.
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, 6?
14
             MS. MELL: Yes, Your Honor.
15
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Your Honor, as relates to Juror
16
17
    No. 6, I wonder if counsel could articulate a reason for
    striking No. 6. No. 6 identified herself as someone who
18
    hailed from Mexico. I am struggling to understand why she
19
20
    would be struck under these circumstances. I worry that her
21
    national origin is part of the basis.
22
             THE COURT: That is a fair question.
             MS. MELL: Did you say that was a fair question for
23
24
    me to respond to?
25
             THE COURT: Yes.
```

MS. MELL: The basis for choosing to strike Juror No. 6 is the response that she gave to the question about whether or not the reasons for why people don't get here legally was something she had personal experience with, was not as easy as others on the panel indicated. They expected the standards should be followed. I think it shows an inherent bias based on personal experience that she's going to bring to the table on whether or not somebody should be obligated to follow the laws on immigration.

THE COURT: Mr. Whitehead.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Your Honor, I would just note on both the jury questionnaires and during voir dire, there were a number of witnesses that identified themselves as coming from families of immigrants. So in that respect, Your Honor, No. 6 and her experience was not unique. I am back to the question of wondering why the peremptory is being exercised with respect to her.

MS. CHIEN: The State of Washington would also add she spoke and said she had never been in the detention center. That wasn't her experience.

THE COURT: Mr. Whitehead, you are leaving this open.

I would like to hear your position.

MR. WHITEHEAD: I think the peremptory should not be used. I feel it is being exercised on a discriminatory basis on the basis of No. 6's national origin.

```
THE COURT: I am inclined to agree with the plaintiff
 1
 2
    on this. The justification for striking, it seems to me, is
    not a justification for excusing a juror who is an immigrant
 3
    and from another country. I think the Batson rules apply. I
 4
    will not allow the challenge to No. 6.
 5
             MS. MELL: Does that mean I can take another number?
 6
             THE COURT: I think you get three.
 7
             MS. MELL: I am on two. That would be Juror No. 3,
 8
    Your Honor.
 9
10
             THE COURT: Plaintiffs' last.
             MS. CHIEN: Plaintiffs would like to strike Juror
11
    No. 19.
12
             THE COURT: Defendant's last.
13
             MS. MELL: 23, Your Honor.
14
15
             THE COURT: All right. The jury will be made up of
    Juror Nos. 4, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 22. All right?
16
17
    How are we going to excuse the rest? Tyler, where are you?
             THE CLERK: I am right here. Do you want me to bring
18
    all of those back into the main room, and then you'll say
19
    which ones are going to be serving on the jury?
20
             THE COURT: That would be fine.
21
22
             THE CLERK: Okay. I am going to go ahead and bring
    all those back in up through 32 that are still here.
23
             THE COURT: Just a minute. 1, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 16,
24
    17 and 22.
25
```

```
MR. WHITEHEAD: I believe 1 was already excused.
 1
 2
             MS. CHIEN: You mean 4?
             THE COURT: I meant 4. That's my No. 1. 4 will
 3
    become Juror No. 1.
 4
             THE CLERK: Can I go ahead and bring all 19 of them
 5
    in?
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Yes.
             THE CLERK: Give me a minute to arrange everyone in
 8
    number order.
             THE COURT: I will ask you to swear the jury in as
10
    well when we finish this.
11
             THE CLERK: Just a minute, Your Honor. We are having
12
    problems with one of the jurors. No. 26, Mr. Minor, has been
13
    able to join again. Mr. Minor, are you able to turn your
14
15
    camera on? You are on my second page. I apologize.
             THE COURT: They are all here?
16
17
             THE CLERK: I believe so, Your Honor.
                           (The following occurred in the presence
18
                          of the prospective jury panel.)
19
             THE COURT: Ms. Gorzelsky, you may be excused. Let's
20
    see here. I can't read my own notes, for gosh sakes. Let me
21
22
    do this a different way.
        Ms. Rickabaugh, you are a member of the jury.
23
    Ms. Membreno, you are a member of the jury. Ms. Tooley, you
24
    are a member of the jury. Mr. Monta, you are a member of the
25
```

```
jury. Mr. Crosley, you are a member of the jury.
 1
 2
    Ms. McDonald, you are a member of the jury. Ms. Farney, you
 3
    are a member of the jury. Somebody is out of order.
    Ms. Burton, you are a member of the jury. And you keep
 4
 5
    moving, Mr. Swessel; you are a member of the jury. That's a
    jury of nine.
 6
 7
        Some of you had too high a number and so you don't get
    chosen for that reason. Others were challenged.
        I would ask now that the jurors that are excused, I want
10
    to thank you for your service. As I told you this morning,
    being challenged is no personal reflection on you.
11
    lawyers have determined who remains after starting with,
12
    like, 50 people this morning. If you have been excused, you
13
    can sign off.
14
15
        Those that are still on the jury should remain.
    Ms. Rickabaugh, Ms. Membreno, Ms. Tooley, Mr. Monta,
16
17
    Mr. Crosley, Ms. McDonald-Poper, Ms. Farney, Ms. Burton and
    Mr. Swessel. That's the jury you selected, is it not,
18
    counsel?
19
             MS. CHIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
20
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes, Your Honor.
21
             MS. MELL: Yes, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Now, you are the jury in this
23
           I would ask you all to raise your right hands and be
24
    case.
25
    sworn and the clerk will give you the oath to try the case.
```

```
THE CLERK: You and each of you do solemnly swear or affirm that you will well and truly try the cause in the matter now pending before the Court and a true verdict therein render according to the evidence and the law? Please say "I do."
```

(Jurors respond affirmatively.)

THE COURT: Okay. I guess I expect you to all say it at once. Ms. Tooley, you are the last one with your hand up, did you say "I do"?

JUROR 7: I said, "I do."

THE COURT: Folks, you are now the jury in this case. I want to give you some instructions that will cover our procedure and some of the legal concepts that you should be aware of. I am mindful of the time. After this bit of instruction, it will be about quitting time and we will start with the trial itself in the morning.

The case will proceed in the same fashion that American courts of all levels follow. First, lawyers for each party will have the opportunity to make opening statements to you outlining their position and what they believe the evidence will be. Then each plaintiff may introduce evidence, and counsel for the defense will have the opportunity to cross-examine the plaintiffs' witnesses.

After each plaintiff has rested or concluded their presentation of evidence, the defendant may introduce

evidence and counsel for plaintiffs may cross-examine defendant's witnesses. Rebuttal evidence may also be introduced.

After all of the evidence is presented, I will instruct you further on the law. Those written instructions will be available to you during your deliberations.

After instructions, the parties will present their final arguments to you. After that, you will deliberate together to reach a verdict. This case, as in all federal cases, requires a unanimous verdict.

Now, I want to tell you about some of the law and procedures that apply here. The law treats all parties equally, whether they are corporations, government entities, a class, or individuals. That means that corporations, government entities, classes, and individuals are to be treated in the same fair and unprejudiced manner.

Corporations and government entities can only act through their employees, agents or officers. Therefore, corporations and government entities are responsible for the acts of their employees, agents and officers performed within the scope of their authority.

When a party has the burden of proving any claim or affirmative defense by a preponderance of evidence, it means you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim or affirmative defense is more probably true than not true. You

should base your decision on all of the evidence regardless of which party presented it. The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of the sworn testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits that are admitted into evidence, and any facts to which the lawyers have agreed and any facts that I have instructed you to accept as proved.

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you. Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they may say in their opening statements, closing arguments and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.

Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence.

Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence.

You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it.

Testimony that is excluded or stricken or that you have been instructed to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some evidence may be received only

for a limited purpose. When I instruct you to consider certain evidence only for a limited purpose, you must do so and you may not consider that evidence for any other purpose.

Anything you may see or hear when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at trial.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

By way of example, if you wake up in the morning and see that the sidewalk is wet, you may find from that fact that it rained during the night. However, other evidence such as a turned-on garden hose may provide a different explanation for the presence of water on the sidewalk. Therefore, before you decide that a fact has been proved by circumstantial evidence, you must consider all the evidence in light of reason, experience and common sense.

There are rules of evidence that controls what can be received in evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or

offers an exhibit into evidence, and a lawyer on the other side thinks it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, the lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered and the exhibit cannot be received. When I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes -- this is partly a repeat from an instruction I have already given you. Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore that evidence. That means you are -- when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the stricken evidence for any purpose.

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says or part of it or none of it. In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account a number of things that I will list for you. You may consider the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to, the witness's memory, the witness's manner while testifying, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any, the witness's bias or prejudice, if any, whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony

or supported it, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence and any other factors that bear on believability.

Sometimes a witness may say something that is not consistent with something else he or she said. Sometimes different witnesses will give different versions of what happened. People often forget things or make mistakes in what they remember. Also, two people may see the same event but remember it differently. You may consider these differences. If you think the witness testified untruthfully about some things but told the truth about others, you may accept the part you think is true and ignore the rest.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify. What is important is how believable the witnesses were and how much weight you think their testimony deserves. You should avoid bias in assessing witness credibility on account of the person's race, color, religious beliefs, national ancestry, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, immigration status, political affiliation or economic circumstances. Also, do not allow yourself to be influenced by personal likes or dislikes, sympathy, prejudice, fear, public opinion or biases, including unconscious biases.

Unconscious biases are stereotypes, attitudes or preferences that people may consciously reject but may be

expressed without conscious awareness, control or intention.

Like conscious bias, unconscious bias can affect how we evaluate information and make decisions.

Now, I want to say a little bit about your conduct as jurors. This instruction will be with you throughout the trial, and I'll refer to parts of it from time to time as we go along to remind you. First, keep an open mind throughout the trial. Do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or the issues it involves during the course of your jury service. Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise, do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or about anything to do with it.

This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone or electronic means, by email, text messaging or any internet chat room, blog, website or application including, but not limited to Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, Instagram, TikTok, LinkedIn, Snapchat or any other forms of social media.

This applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation. It applies to communicating with everyone else, including your family members, your employer, the media or press and the people involved in the trial. You may notify your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case and how long you expect the trial to last. If you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and report the contact to the Court.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instructions you properly may consider to return a verdict, do not read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or anything to do with it. Do not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, searching the internet, or using other reference materials, and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case on your own. Do not visit or view any place discussed in the case, and do not use internet programs or other devices to search for or view any place discussed during the trial. Also, do not do any research about the case, the law, or the people involved, including the parties, the witnesses and the lawyers until you have been excused as jurors. If you happen to read or hear anything touching on

this case in the media, turn away and report it to me as soon as possible.

These rules protect each party's right to have the case decided only on the evidence that has been presented here in court. Witnesses here in court take an oath to tell the truth and they are -- and the accuracy of their testimony is tested through the trial process. If you do any research or investigation outside the courtroom or gain any information through improper communications, then your verdict may be influenced by inaccurate, incomplete or misleading information that has not been tested by the trial process.

Each of the parties is entitled to a fair trial by an impartial jury, and if you decide the case based on information not presented in court, you will have denied the parties a fair trial.

Remember, you have taken an oath to follow the rules, and it is very important you follow these rules. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings, and a mistrial could result that would require the entire process to start over.

If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the Court immediately. If there is any news account, news media account or commentary about the case or anything to do with it, you must ignore it. You must not read, watch or listen to any news media account or commentary about the

case or anything to do with it. The case must be decided by you solely and exclusively on the evidence that will be received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies. If any juror is exposed to outside information, please notify the Court immediately.

I urge to you pay close attention to the trial testimony as it is given. During deliberations, you will not have a transcript of the testimony to consult.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember and understand the evidence. If you do take notes, keep them to yourself until you begin your deliberations. Do not let note-taking distract you. Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those of other jurors.

From time to time throughout the trial it becomes necessary for me to talk with the lawyers outside your hearing. I would call a recess for that purpose. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant evidence from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence to avoid confusion and error and to decide procedural matters.

I am running out of voice. That should make you happy. We are almost done here.

The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant evidence from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence and to avoid confusion and error. We will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant a lawyer's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or what your verdict should be.

As jurors, you are officers of the court. You must act judiciously, with an earnest desire to determine and declare a proper verdict. Throughout the trial, you should be impartial and permit neither sympathy nor prejudice to influence you.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I want you to know, I have told you about don't communicate regarding the case. When you are excused to a chat room as a group, it is perfectly okay for you to talk to each other about things not involving the trial. So I would hope you have an opportunity to become friendly with each other and with the understanding that you are going to be working together, including your deliberations at the end of the case. So you are not prohibited from talking with each other about other matters.

We will reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:00. I would ask all of you to be standing by, ready to come to court through

```
1 your computers at that time.
```

Ms. Tooley, I believe you had -- I am not sure I have your name right. Juror No. 3, you were going to report on the progress of your computer situation.

JUROR NO. 3: I talked to my father. He said it shouldn't be a problem. They use it for church on Sundays and that's about it.

THE COURT: Okay. Fine. Thank you very much. We will see you tomorrow morning at 9:00, and we will begin the case itself with opening statements of counsel.

Just one second, please. I have some questions.

Juror No. 8.

JUROR NO. 8: Real quick. Will we get another email with another link to the Zoom meeting, or are we using the same Zoom meeting?

THE COURT: I should say that Tyler is your boss here while you are on jury duty. He is the one that can answer that question for you.

THE CLERK: Yes, I am about to hit "send" on an email to everyone with the links for the next several weeks, some instructions, and my contact information, of course, if you need to get ahold of me.

THE COURT: Any other questions? Okay. This has been a long day. A lot of waiting for you, I know. Things will get interesting from here on out, I promise you. I look

```
forward to seeking justice along with you for the next few
 1
 2
    weeks.
        Thank you. You may all be excused.
 3
      (The following occurred outside the presence of the jury.)
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Counsel, do we have anything we have to
    talk about?
 6
             THE CLERK: Just a minute, Your Honor. Juror No. 4
 7
 8
    is still here. We are good to go.
             THE COURT: Can you hear me? I am not muted.
10
             THE CLERK: We can hear you, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: I read the agreed facts at the
11
    introduction to the case. I am curious what form you wish to
12
    introduce those facts to the jury. We can do it by jury
13
    instruction or by making an exhibit out of that or whatever
14
15
    you choose. I don't want to go into that now. I wanted to
    raise the question so you'll be thinking about it to present
16
17
    those things at the proper time and in an appropriate way.
        All right. Any questions? Okay. See you tomorrow at
18
    9:00.
19
20
             MS. CHIEN: Did the parties want to discuss some
    opening statement objections?
21
22
             MS. SCHEFFEY: I am ready to move on if you can hear
23
         Can you hear me, Your Honor?
             THE COURT: Opening statement objections?
24
             MS. CHIEN:
                         The parties --
25
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about it, as long as I
 1
 2
    don't have to talk too much. What objection?
             MS. CHIEN: The parties have several -- have
 3
    exchanged demonstratives and exhibits and have a couple
 4
             I think from the State's perspective, as opposed to
 5
    GEO, for GEO's opening they flagged they plan to do some
 6
    callouts of laws, public laws and federal laws in their
 7
    opening statement. We believe any argument regarding the law
    should be excluded during opening statements.
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Your Honor, in response --
10
             THE COURT: Wait a minute. It is not argument.
11
    Opening statement is not argument.
12
        Ms. Scheffey, is that your area?
13
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Yes, Your Honor, I'll be addressing
14
15
    that area. We are not going to make argument. We will seek
    the text of the law.
16
17
             THE COURT: You got a terrible echo.
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Hold on one second. Is this any
18
    better, Your Honor?
19
             THE COURT: Well, I think so. Go ahead.
20
             MS. SCHEFFEY: As to the statement about the law, we
21
22
    do not plan to make an argument about the law. As you know,
23
    one of GEO's defenses is the law discriminates against GEO,
    so GEO does intend to show the text of the law to say this is
24
25
    what we will argue as discriminatory.
```

```
MS. CHIEN: The blowups that GEO flagged are not just
 1
 2
    limited to the State's minimum wage law. Also, I believe
    public law, federal law, which would have nothing to do with
 3
    whether or not our state law discriminates against GEO.
 4
 5
             MS. SCHEFFEY: My understanding is those would also
    be images that show -- you will hear testimony that Congress
 6
    set X. Y and Z rate for detention facilities.
 7
             THE COURT: I will tell the jury what the law is when
    the time comes. It should not be used in opening statement.
 9
    That is a statement of what the law is. I would agree with
10
    that objection.
11
        What else?
12
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Your Honor, the State and private
13
    plaintiffs both intend to use demonstratives that they have
14
    created that we do not believe are based on evidence that is
15
    likely to come in. One --
16
17
             THE COURT: Wait a minute. I'm sorry, you are still
    echoing real bad. I don't know what kind of -- are you in a
18
    jail cell? Things might echo in the jail cell.
19
20
             MS. SCHEFFEY: I am. I am in jail.
             MS. MELL: She can walk down and use my spot.
21
22
             MS. SCHEFFEY:
                            That's okay.
             MS. MELL: If you have not had trouble hearing me, we
23
    will put her in my space for the argument.
24
```

THE COURT:

25

That's fine. I don't know what it is

```
that is causing that.
 1
 2
             MS. MELL: It will just take a second.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         There you go.
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Can you hear me now, Your Honor? I
 4
    sound like that bad commercial for cell phone service.
 5
        We have been sent some slides from the State and private
 6
 7
    plaintiffs that they intend to use as demonstratives in their
    opening.
        The first slide I will speak to is the State's. The slide
    is based upon Exhibit 602, which we discussed at length in
10
    our April 28 hearing. Your Honor's ruling was you would need
11
    to hear foundation before you knew whether it could come in
12
    or not. We believe that is not admissible in opening and
13
    should not be shown to the jury, the demonstrative based on
14
15
    evidence that is likely to come in.
             THE COURT: What is 602?
16
17
             MS. SCHEFFEY: 602 is the assessment underlying the
    letter, the letter that was sent to ICE talking about the
18
    legal costs and cost of defending against these cases, some
19
20
    of the numbers that no one has gotten any testimony about
21
    during deposition, and GEO has argued are privileged under
    408.
22
             MS. CHIEN: For the State, we would take issue with
23
    the idea that no one has been deposed about it. Mr. Evans,
24
```

the CFO of GEO, testified he created the document from 602 in

25

support of GEO's request for equitable adjustment and includes the number of employees that GEO calculated would be needed to replace detainee labor if detainee workers weren't hired. Says GEO did the analysis and determined that 85 full-time employees would be necessary to replace detainee labor in the facility. We think that is directly relevant as to whether or not detainee labor is integral to GEO's business.

MS. SCHEFFEY: That testimony is not in Mr. Evans' deposition because the exhibit was not presented to him. It had not yet been ordered to be disclosed. He did not provide testimony about if he knew what it was, whether he created it or used it. I mean, this is very much not appropriate for opening. If they want to use it in closing and it comes in, that's fine. Right now, you specifically said the foundation remains important and that was how you would determine whether or not it would be admissible at trial. We still haven't gotten that foundation. It should not be used in opening, let alone as a demonstrative that takes out one small section of that.

THE COURT: The bottom line is I agree with this objection. It is not a time -- opening statement is when you can tell the jury what you believe the evidence would show.

A contested exhibit should not be shown to the jury. That is my ruling on that objection.

```
MS. CHIEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 1
 2
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Similarly, Mr. Whitehead and the
    private plaintiffs intend to use certain slides they have
 3
    created that they say are based upon what they expect the
 4
    testimony will be, and some of the exhibits -- they have not
 5
    identified specific exhibits.
 6
 7
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Not to cut you off. It is late in
 8
    the day.
 9
             THE COURT: Wait a minute. Every once in awhile, for
10
    no good reason, my left hearing aid cuts out. It has
    something to do with advancing age.
11
        Mr. Whitehead.
12
             MR. WHITEHEAD: I apologize for interrupting. I
13
    wanted to jump to the chase. Emails were flying late last
14
15
    night. I am not quite sure where we left off in the
    exchange. We won't use the slides. I have adjusted the
16
17
    PowerPoint accordingly. I think it is a moot issue on the
    slides Ms. Scheffey is addressing right now.
18
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Thank you, Jamal.
19
             THE COURT: What else?
20
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Photos, are we still going to address
21
    those?
22
23
             MS. CHIEN: I think we are okay with using photos.
             MR. WHITEHEAD: We are okay.
24
25
             MS. SCHEFFEY: Are you withdrawing your objection to
```

```
our use of photos as well?
 1
 2
             MR. WHITEHEAD: Yes.
             MS. CHIEN: Yes.
 3
             MS. SCHEFFEY: That is it, Your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. Long day, at least for me. This
 5
    is not the easiest way to choose a jury. Maybe it is easier,
 6
    but it is not as much fun as having them in court where you
 7
    can communicate better.
        Thank you, all. See you in the morning.
             MR. WHITEHEAD:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
10
                      (The proceedings adjourned.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

-Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pacific Ave, Tacoma, WA - 253-882-3832-

CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Angela Nicolavo ANGELA NICOLAVO COURT REPORTER

-Angela Nicolavo - Court Reporter - 1717 Pacific Ave, Tacoma, WA - 253-882-3832-